

**SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO
THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO**

Independent Accountant's Report on
Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures on All
Funds, Excluding the Low and Moderate Income
Housing Funds, to the Successor Agency to the
Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego

As Prescribed in Section 34179.5 of
the California Health and Safety Code

**SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT
AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Independent Accountant’s Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1
ATTACHMENTS	
A. Agreed-Upon Procedures and Findings	2
EXHIBITS	
A1. Asset Transfers to the City of San Diego for the Period January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012	10
A2 Asset Transfers to the City of San Diego for the period February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012	13
B. Assets Transfers to Other Public Agencies or to Private Parties for the Period January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012.....	14
C. Condensed Summary of Financial Transactions	15
D. Assets Held by the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012.....	16
E. Restricted Assets Held by the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012	17
F. Assets Other than Cash and Cash Equivalents Held by the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012	20
G. Assets Transferred to the Successor Agency that are Required to Pay Enforceable Obligations: Projection of Annual Spending Requirements and Revenue.....	21
H. Itemized List and Analysis of Amounts of Current Balances Needed to Satisfy Recognized Obligation Payments for the Period July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013	23
I. Assets Available to Distribute to Affected Taxing Entities	51

Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency
of the City of San Diego
San Diego, California

**Independent Accountant's Report on
Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures**

We have performed the procedures in Attachment A, which were agreed to by the City of San Diego, as the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego (Successor Agency), the California State Controller's Office, and the California State Department of Finance (DOF) (collectively referred to as the Specified Parties), solely to assist the Specified Parties you in determining the balances available for transfer to taxing entities from assets transferred to the Successor Agency, excluding assets transferred from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds of the former redevelopment agency, as prescribed in Section 34179.5 of the California Health and Safety Code (Code) as of June 30, 2012. Management of the Successor Agency is responsible for the accounting records. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the Specified Parties. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures enumerated in Attachment A, either for the purpose for which this report has been requested, or for any other purpose.

The scope of this engagement was limited to performing the agreed-upon procedures set forth in Attachment A. Attachment A also identifies the findings noted as a result of the procedures performed.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the balances available for transfer to taxing entities from assets transferred to the Successor Agency, excluding assets transferred from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds of the former redevelopment agency, or as to the appropriateness of the other financial information included in Attachment A and Exhibits A1 through Exhibit I. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Successor Agency, the California State Controller's Office, and the California State Department of Finance, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Macie Mini & O'Connell LLP

San Diego, California
April 24, 2013

ATTACHMENT A

**SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT
AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Attachment A - Agreed-Upon Procedures and Findings
(Excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds)**

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

1) **Procedure:**

Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets that were transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the Successor Agency, excluding assets transferred from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds of the former redevelopment agency, on or about February 1, 2012. Agree the amounts on this listing to account balances established in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. Identify in the Agreed-Upon Procedures (AUP) report the amount of the assets transferred to the Successor Agency as of that date.

Finding: We noted that the former redevelopment agency transferred assets in the amount of \$547,746,873 from the former redevelopment agency to the Successor Agency, excluding assets transferred from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds of the former redevelopment agency, on February 1, 2012. We agreed the transferred assets to account balances established in the accounting records.

2) **Procedures:**

If the State Controller's Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:

- A. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds, to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
- B. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds held by the Successor Agency, to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
- C. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.

**SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT
AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**
Attachment A - Agreed-Upon Procedures and Findings (Continued)
(Excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds)

Findings: We noted that the State Controller's Office (SCO) has not completed its review of transfers as of the date of this report.

We obtained a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds, to the City of San Diego for the period January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012. We obtained the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required each transfer. Please refer to *Exhibit A1* (January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012) and *Exhibit A2* (February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012) for the results of the procedures performed. The transfers of assets summarized in *Exhibit A1* and *Exhibit A2* are subject to review and approval by the SCO. The SCO will make the final determination as to the enforceability of the obligations.

3) **Procedures:**

If the State Controller's Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:

- A. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds, to any other public agency or to private parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
- B. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds, to any other public agency or private parties for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
- C. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.

Findings: We noted the State Controller's Office has not completed its review of transfers as of the date of this report. We obtained a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds, to other public agencies or private parties for the period January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012. We obtained the legal document that formed the

**SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT
AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**
Attachment A - Agreed-Upon Procedures and Findings (Continued)
(Excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds)

basis for the enforceable obligation that required each transfer. Please refer to *Exhibit B* for the results of the procedures performed.

4) **Procedures:**

- A. Obtain from the Successor Agency a summary of the financial transactions of the Redevelopment Agency and the Successor Agency in the format set forth in the attached schedule for the fiscal periods indicated in the schedule. For purposes of this summary, the financial transactions should be presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting. End of year balances for capital assets (in total) and long-term liabilities (in total) should be presented at the bottom of this summary schedule for information purposes.
- B. Ascertain that for each period presented, the total of revenues, expenditures, and transfers accounts fully for the changes in equity from the previous fiscal period.
- C. Compare amounts in the schedule relevant to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 to the state controller's report filed for the Redevelopment Agency for that period.
- D. Compare amounts in the schedule for the other fiscal periods presented to account balances in the accounting records or other supporting schedules. Describe in the report the type of support provided for each fiscal period.

Finding: No exceptions were noted as a result of the procedures performed. The financial data for the fiscal periods ending June 30, 2010, 2011, and 2012 is supported by the audited financial statements. The financial data for the period ending January 31, 2012, is supported by trial balances from the Successor Agency accounting records. There are no differences between the audited financial statements and the state controller's report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. Please refer to *Exhibit C* for a summary of the financial transactions.

5) **Procedure:**

Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets of the Successor Agency, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund assets held by the Successor Agency, as of June 30, 2012. Agree the assets so listed to recorded balances reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. The listings should be attached as an exhibit to the AUP report.

Finding: We obtained from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets of the Successor Agency, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds assets held by the Successor Agency, as of June 30, 2012 and agreed the assets so listed to the recorded balances reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. No exceptions were noted as a result of the procedure performed. Please refer to *Exhibit D* for a listing of all assets of the Successor Agency, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds Assets held by the Successor Agency, as of June 30, 2012.

**SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT
AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Attachment A - Agreed-Upon Procedures and Findings (Continued)
(Excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds)**

6) **Procedures:**

Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of asset balances held on June 30, 2012, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds assets held by the Successor Agency, that are restricted for the following purposes:

A. Unspent bond proceeds:

- i. Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures, amounts set aside for debt service payments, etc.)
- ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
- iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.

B. Grant proceeds and program income that are restricted by third parties:

- i. Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
- ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
- iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the grant agreement that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.

C. Other assets considered to be legally restricted:

- i. Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
- ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
- iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by Successor the Agency as restricted.

D. Attach the above mentioned Successor Agency prepared schedule(s) as an exhibit to the AUP report. For each restriction identified on these schedules, indicate in the report the period of time for which the restrictions are in effect. If the restrictions are in effect until the related assets are expended for their intended purpose, this should be indicated in the report.

**SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT
AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO**
Attachment A - Agreed-Upon Procedures and Findings (Continued)
(Excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds)

Findings: We obtained from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets held on June 30, 2012, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds assets held by the Successor Agency, that are restricted for unspent bond proceeds, grant proceeds and program income that are restricted by third parties, and other assets considered to be legally restricted as of June 30, 2012, and a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to such balances and traced individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation. There were no exceptions noted as a result of the procedures performed. Please refer to *Exhibit E* for the listing of the Successor Agency's restricted asset balances, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds restricted assets held by the Successor Agency, as of June 30, 2012.

7) **Procedures:**

- A. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of assets, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds assets held by the Successor Agency, as of June 30, 2012 that are not liquid or otherwise available for distribution (such as capital assets, land held for resale, long-term receivables, etc.) and ascertain if the values are listed at either purchase cost (based on book value reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency) or market value, as recently estimated by the Successor Agency.
- B. If the assets listed at 7A are listed at purchase cost, trace the amounts to a previously audited financial statement (or to the accounting records of the Successor Agency) and note any differences.
- C. For any differences noted in 7B, inspect evidence of disposal of the asset and ascertain that the proceeds were deposited into the Successor Agency trust fund. If the differences are due to additions (this generally is not expected to occur), inspect the supporting documentation and note the circumstances.
- D. If the assets listed at 7A are listed at recently estimated market value, inspect the evidence (if any) supporting the value and note the methodology used. If no evidence is available to support the value and/or methodology, note the lack of evidence.

Findings: We obtained from the Successor Agency a listing of assets, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds assets held by the Successor Agency, as of June 30, 2012 that were not liquid or otherwise allowable for distribution and ascertained if the values are listed at either book value reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency or market value, as recently estimated by the Successor Agency. Please refer to *Exhibit F* for a listing of Successor Agency's asset balances other than cash and cash equivalents, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds Assets held by the Successor Agency, as of June 30, 2012.

8) **Procedures:**

- A. If the Successor Agency believes that asset balances, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds assets held by the Successor Agency, need to be retained to satisfy enforceable obligations, obtain from the Successor Agency an itemized schedule of asset

**SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT
AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Attachment A - Agreed-Upon Procedures and Findings (Continued)
(Excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds)**

- balances (resources) as of June 30, 2012, that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of enforceable obligations and perform the following procedures. The schedule should identify the amount dedicated or restricted, the nature of the dedication or restriction, the specific enforceable obligation to which the dedication or restriction relates, and the language in the legal document that is associated with the enforceable obligation that specifies the dedication of existing asset balances toward payment of that obligation.
- i. Compare all information on the schedule to the legal documents that form the basis for the dedication or restriction of the resource balance in question.
 - ii. Compare all current balances to the amounts reported in the accounting records of the Successor Agency or to an alternative computation.
 - iii. Compare the specified enforceable obligations to those that were included in the final Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule approved by the California Department of Finance.
 - iv. Attach as an exhibit to the report the listing obtained from the Successor Agency. Identify in the report any listed balances for which the Successor Agency was unable to provide appropriate restricting language in the legal document associated with the enforceable obligation.
- B. If the Successor Agency believes that future revenues, together with balances, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds held by the Successor Agency, dedicated or restricted to an enforceable obligation, are insufficient to fund future obligation payments, and thus retention of current balances is required, obtain from the Successor Agency a schedule of approved enforceable obligations that includes a projection of the annual spending requirements to satisfy each obligation and a projection of the annual revenues available to fund those requirements and perform the following procedures:
- i. Compare the enforceable obligations to those that were approved by the California Department of Finance. Procedures to accomplish this may include reviewing the letter from the California Department of Finance approving the Recognized Enforceable Obligation Payment Schedules for the six month period from January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012, and for the six month period July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.
 - ii. Compare the forecasted annual spending requirements to the legal document supporting each enforceable obligation.
 - a. Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions relating to the forecasted annual spending requirements and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.
 - iii. For the forecasted annual revenues:
 - a. Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions for the forecasted annual revenues and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.
- C. If the Successor Agency believes that projected property tax revenues and other general purpose revenues to be received by the Successor Agency are insufficient to pay bond debt service payments (considering both the timing and amount of the related cash flows), obtain

**SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT
AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Attachment A - Agreed-Upon Procedures and Findings (Continued)
(Excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds)**

from the Successor Agency a schedule demonstrating this insufficiency and apply the following procedures to the information reflected in that schedule.

- i. Compare the timing and amounts of bond debt service payments to the related bond debt service schedules in the bond agreement.
 - ii. Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted property tax revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.
 - iii. Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted other general purpose revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.
- D. If procedures 8A, B, or C were performed, calculate the amount of current unrestricted balances of assets, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund assets held by the Successor Agency, necessary for retention in order to meet the enforceable obligations by performing the following procedures.
- i. Combine the amount of identified current dedicated or restricted balances and the amount of forecasted annual revenues to arrive at the amount of total resources available to fund enforceable obligations.
 - ii. Reduce the amount of total resources available by the amount forecasted for the annual spending requirements. A negative result indicates the amount of current unrestricted balances that needs to be retained.
 - iii. Include the calculation in the AUP report.

Findings: The Successor Agency does not believe that procedures 8A and 8C are applicable. However, for procedures 8B and 8D, the Successor Agency believes that forecasted annual revenues are insufficient to cover the \$12,623,491 in forecasted annual spending requirements for enforceable obligation payments from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014. Please refer to *Exhibit G* for results of the procedures performed.

9) **Procedure:**

If the Successor Agency believes that, as of June 30, 2012, cash balances, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds assets held by the Successor Agency, need to be retained to satisfy obligations on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, obtain a copy of the final ROPS for the period of July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012, and a copy of the final ROPS for the period January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013. For each obligation listed on the ROPS, the Successor Agency should add columns identifying (1) any dollar amounts of existing cash that are needed to satisfy that obligation and (2) the Successor Agency's explanation as to why the Successor Agency believes that such balances are needed to satisfy the obligation. Include this schedule as an attachment to the AUP report.

**SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT
AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Attachment A - Agreed-Upon Procedures and Findings (Continued)
(Excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds)**

Findings: We obtained a copy of the final ROPS for the period July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 and a copy of the final ROPS for the period January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013. Based on our inquiry with management, the Successor Agency believes that \$124,774,146 of the unencumbered cash balances, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds assets held by the Successor Agency, as of June 30, 2012, are needed to satisfy obligations on the ROPS for the period July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 and to satisfy obligations on the ROPS for the period January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013. No exceptions were noted as a result of the procedure performed. Please refer to *Exhibit H* for the results of this procedure.

10) **Procedure:**

Include a schedule detailing the computation of the Balance Available for Allocation to Affected Taxing Entities. Amounts included in the calculation should agree to the results of the procedures performed in each section above. The schedule should also include a deduction to recognize amounts already paid to the County Auditor-Controller on July 12, 2012, as directed by the California Department of Finance. The amount of this deduction presented should be agreed to evidence of payment.

Finding: No exceptions were noted as a result of the procedure performed. Please refer to *Exhibit I* for the results of this procedure.

11) **Procedure:**

Obtain a representation letter from Successor Agency management acknowledging their responsibility for the data provided to the practitioner and the data presented in the report or in any attachments to the report. Included in the representations should be an acknowledgment that management is not aware of any transfers (as defined by Section 34179.5) from either the former redevelopment agency of the Successor Agency, excluding assets transferred from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds, to other parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012, that have not been properly identified in the AUP report and its related exhibits. Management's refusal to sign the representation letter should be noted in the AUP report as required by attestation standards.

Finding: No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure.

EXHIBITS

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
EXHIBIT A1 - ASSET TRANSFERS TO THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2012
(EXCLUDING ITS LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUNDS HELD BY THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY)

					Finding	
Asset Description	Name of the Recipient [Sponsoring Community]	Date of Transfer	Book Value of Asset at Date of Transfer	Describe the Purpose of the Transfer and Specify the Enforceable Obligation or Other Legal Requirement Requiring Such Transfer and the Date of Such Requirement. Also, Note Whether the Asset Transfer was Reversed and the Date of Such Reversal.	Book Value of Asset at Date of Transfer to Successor Agency	
1	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	8/26/2011	\$ 11,322,000	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-304728 dated 03/20/2009 - Ballpark	\$ -
2	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	6/6/2011	970,500	Pursuant to City Council R-305920 resolution dated 06/28/2010- CDBG Payment	-
3	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	6/7/2011	2,000,000	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-305920 dated 06/28/2010- CDBG Payment	-
4	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	6/30/2011	324,000	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-305920 dated 06/28/2010- CDBG Payment	-
5	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	8/24/2011	57,071	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-04638 dated 02/28/2011 - City Loans	-
6	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	1/13/2011	186,247	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-297945 dated 05/06/2003 - Section 108 Loans	-
7	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	6/30/2011	585	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-04433/305090 dated 07/22/2009 - HUD Loan Repayment	-
8	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	7/15/2011	402,000	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-297945 dated 05/06/2003 - Section 108 Loans	-
9	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	8/24/2011	800,336	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-04638 dated 02/28/2011 - City Loans	-
10	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	6/30/2011	195,375	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-03973 dated 11/15/2005 - Valencia Business Park	-
11	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	8/24/2011	150,000	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-04469 dated 06/27/2011 - City Loans	-
12	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	10/21/2011	98,174	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-04679 dated 06/27/2011 - City Projects	-
13	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	1/24/2012	887,000	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-04443/R306968 dated 03/02/2010 - City Projects	-
14	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	1/31/2012	34,585	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-04433/305090 dated 07/22/2009 - HUD Loan Repayment	-
15	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	6/30/2011	196,271	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-04131/303368/303919 - City Projects/Billable services	-
16	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	1/31/2012	2,000,000	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-306734 dated 05/12/2011- Convention Center	-
17	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	6/30/2011	215,251,725	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-306632 dated 2/28/2011 - Cooperation Agreement Funds	Cash Value as of June 30, 2012 (Non-Housing Bond Proceeds Funds) 19,494,417
18	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	8/25/2011	(44,260,000)	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-306632 dated 2/28/2011 - Cooperation Agreement Funds	Cash Value as of June 30, 2012 (Non-Housing Tax Increment Funds) 36,965,926
19	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	9/13/2011	(3,955,600)	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-306632 dated 2/28/2011 - Cooperation Agreement Funds	Cash Value as of June 30, 2012 (Non-Housing Tax Increment Funds) 4,452,362
20	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	1/1/2012	(5,626,810)	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-306632 dated 2/28/2011 - Cooperation Agreement Funds	-
21	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	1/31/2012	(2,130,492)	Pursuant to City Council resolution R-306632 dated 2/28/2011 - Cooperation Agreement Funds	-
1	Capital Asset-St. Joseph Park	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	14,265,993	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency 14,265,993
2	Capital Asset-Tailgate Park	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	16,948,256	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency 16,948,256
3	Capital Asset-Walker Scott Bldg/ 1023-4th Ave	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	806,603	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency 806,603
4	Capital Asset-RTC Block/ LIND 2	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	911,531	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency 911,531

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

EXHIBIT A1 - ASSET TRANSFERS TO THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2012 (CONTINUED)
(EXCLUDING ITS LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUNDS HELD BY THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY)

					Finding		
Asset Description	Name of the Recipient (Sponsoring Community)	Date of Transfer	Book Value of Asset at Date of Transfer	Describe the Purpose of the Transfer and Specify the Enforceable Obligation or Other Legal Requirement Requiring Such Transfer and the Date of Such Requirement. Also, Note Whether the Asset Transfer was Reversed and the Date of Such Reversal.	Description	Book Value of Asset at Date of Transfer to Successor Agency	
5	Capital Asset-Marina Residential/Chinese Mission	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	453,880	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	453,880
6	Capital Asset-Bayside Fire Station (1595 Pacific Hw)	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	2,501,739	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	2,501,739
7	Capital Asset-Mid-City Police Station CH	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	387,243	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	387,243
8	Capital Asset-NP Parking Lot	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	2,291,154	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	2,291,154
9	Capital Asset-NP Parking Structure	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	13,522,234	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	13,522,234
10	Capital Asset-NTC	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	2,308,922	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	2,308,922
11	Capital Asset-Chollas Creek Drainage CI	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	128,732	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	128,732
12	Land Held for Resale-Gateway Center West	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	79,521	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	79,521
13	Land Held for Resale-Skateworld	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	1,121,141	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	1,121,141
14	Land Held for Resale-Linda Vista Community Center	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	366,285	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	366,285
15	Land Held for Resale-917 Crosby Street	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	40,000	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	40,000
16	Land Held for Resale-Industrial Site Acquisition	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	49,788	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	49,788
17	Land Held for Resale-Renaissance (4332 30th St)	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	892,032	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	892,032

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

EXHIBIT A1 - ASSET TRANSFERS TO THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2012 (CONTINUED)
(EXCLUDING ITS LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUNDS HELD BY THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY)

Asset Description	Name of the Recipient (Sponsoring Community)	Date of Transfer	Book Value of Asset at Date of Transfer	Describe the Purpose of the Transfer and Specify the Enforceable Obligation or Other Legal Requirement Requiring Such Transfer and the Date of Such Requirement. Also, Note Whether the Asset Transfer was Reversed and the Date of Such Reversal.	Finding		
					Description	Book Value of Asset at Date of Transfer to Successor Agency	
18	Land Held for Resale-3517 E Street	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	85,512	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	85,512
19	Land Held for Resale-Mount Hope	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	446,199	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	446,199
20	Land Held for Resale-El Cajon Blvd & 40th Street	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	830,000	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	830,000
21	Land Held for Resale-1625 Newton Avenue	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	3,900,000	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Property was sold in FY12 from the Cooperation Agreement Fund. The sales proceeds are included in the Cash Value as of June 30, 2012.	-
22	Land Held for Resale-3067 University Ave	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	3/16/2011	1,950,806	Pursuant to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego resolution R-04654 and City Council resolution R-306680 dated 3/15/2011, assets were transferred to accomplish important municipal and other public purposes in accordance and consistent with the redevelopment plans adopted for the redevelopment project areas.	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-307600 dated July 31, 2012, the assets previously transferred to the City in accordance to resolution R-306680 was reversed and the assets were transferred back to the Successor Agency	1,950,806
				<u>\$ 243,190,538</u>			<u>\$ 121,300,276</u>

Summary of Assets Transferred to the City of San Diego for the Period January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 related to the Cooperation Agreement	
Cash - refer to Exhibit A1	\$ 215,251,725
Cash - refer to Exhibit A2	2,695,664
	<u>\$ 217,947,389</u>

Summary of Assets Transferred Back from the City of San Diego to the Successor Agency after June 30, 2012	
Cash	\$ 60,912,705
Land Held for Resale	5,861,284
Capital Assets	<u>54,526,287</u>
	<u>\$ 121,300,276</u>

Summary of Assets Transferred back from the City of San Diego to the Successor Agency for the period January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 Related to the Cooperation Agreement	
Cash - refer to Exhibit A1	\$ (44,260,000)
Cash - refer to Exhibit A1	(3,955,600)
Cash - refer to Exhibit A1	(5,626,810)
Cash - refer to Exhibit A1	(2,130,492)
Cash - refer to Exhibit A1	(19,494,417)
Cash - refer to Exhibit A1	(36,965,926)
Cash - refer to Exhibit A1	(4,452,362)
Cash - refer to Exhibit A2	(105,800,450)
	<u>(222,686,057)</u>
Interest Earnings on Cash Transferred to the City of San Diego and Other Miscellaneous Transactions (e.g. Disbursement of Funds for Enforcement Obligations)	<u>4,738,668</u>
	<u>\$ (217,947,389)</u>

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

EXHIBIT A2 - ASSET TRANSFERS TO THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO FOR THE PERIOD FEBRUARY 1, 2012 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2012
(EXCLUDING ITS LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUNDS HELD BY THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY)

Asset Description	Name of the Recipient (Sponsoring Community)	Date of Transfer	Book Value of Asset at Date of Transfer	Describe the Purpose of the Transfer and Specify the Enforceable Obligation or Other Legal Requirement Requiring Such Transfer and the Date of Such Requirement. Also, Note Whether the Asset Transfer was Reversed and the Date of Such Reversal	Finding		
					Description	Book Value of Asset at Date of Transfer to Successor Agency	
1	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	6/30/2012	\$ 2,695,664	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-306632 dated 2/28/2011 - Cooperation Agreement Funds	No Finding Noted	\$ -
2	Cash	City of San Diego acting as Successor Agency	6/30/2012	<u>(105,800,450)</u>	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-306632 dated 2/28/2011 - Cooperation Agreement Funds	No Finding Noted	<u>-</u>
				<u>\$ (103,104,786)</u>			<u>\$ -</u>

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

**EXHIBIT B - ASSET TRANSFERS TO OTHER PUBLIC AGENCIES OR TO PRIVATE PARTIES FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2012
(EXCLUDING ITS LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUNDS HELD BY THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY)**

Asset Description	Name of the Recipient [Public Agency]	Date of Transfer	Book Value of Asset at Date of Transfer	Describe the Purpose of the Transfer and Specify the Enforceable Obligation or Other Legal Requirement Requiring Such Transfer and the Date of Such Requirement. Also, Note Whether the Asset Transfer was Reversed and the Date of Such Reversal.	Finding	
1	Cash	San Diego Convention Center Corporation	3/18/2011	\$ 750,000	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-04647 dated 3/16/2011 - Payment of Loan Proceeds	\$ -
2	Cash	San Diego Convention Center Corporation	6/24/2011	<u>2,250,000</u>	Pursuant to City Council Resolution R-04647 dated 3/16/2011 - Payment of Loan Proceeds	<u>-</u>
			<u>\$ 3,000,000</u>		<u>\$ -</u>	

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

EXHIBIT C - CONDENSED SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS

	Redevelopment Agency 12 Months Ending June 30, 2010	Redevelopment Agency 12 Months Ending June 30, 2011	Redevelopment Agency 7 Months Ending January 31, 2012	Successor Agency 5 Months Ending June 30, 2012
Assets:				
Cash or Equity in Pooled Cash and Investments	\$ 508,164,034	\$ 230,505,094	\$ 260,655,252	\$ 355,523,910
Cash and Investments with Fiscal Agent	98,463,477	109,115,204	120,548,130	109,831,085
Investments held by Trustee	90,162,465	41,144,742	37,701,989	35,577,435
Receivables:				
Taxes	8,786,706	6,223,019	-	-
Notes and Contracts	154,491,986	176,896,875	186,013,059	192,591,124
Accounts	456,835	238,453	3,816	3,816
Interest	1,359,756	641,181	6	493,636
Advances to Other Agencies	-	825,000	-	-
From Primary Government	-	-	-	31,555,537
Working Capital Advances	2,336,139	291,801,540	232,882,631	1,832,379
Land Held for Resale	37,509,548	6,289,960	5,683,960	8,923,960
Prepaid Items and Deposits	-	3,534,583	2,478,065	-
Total Assets	901,730,946	867,215,651	845,966,908	736,332,882
Liabilities:				
Accounts Payable	6,864,974	6,509,466	5,930,973	96,697,303
Deferred Revenue	5,997,376	3,470,438	-	-
Sundry Trust Liabilities	5,051,411	3,664,881	3,000,839	2,994,841
Total Liabilities	17,913,761	13,644,785	8,931,812	99,692,144
Equity	883,817,185	853,570,866	837,035,096	636,640,738
Total Liabilities and Equity	901,730,946	867,215,651	845,966,908	736,332,882
Total Revenues:	207,536,931	191,553,337	101,984,264	22,156,544
Total Expenditures:	230,934,493	326,656,673	118,520,034	285,298,082
Total Transfers and Other Financing Sources and Uses	28,698,794	104,857,017	-	-
Extraordinary Gain	-	-	-	899,782,281
Net Change in Equity	5,301,232	(30,246,319)	(16,535,770)	636,640,743
Beginning Equity:	878,515,952	883,817,184	853,570,865	-
Ending Equity:	\$ 883,817,184	\$ 853,570,865	\$ 837,035,095	\$ 636,640,743
Other Information (show year end balances for all three years presented)				
Capital Assets as of End of Year	\$ 200,752,739	\$ 121,681,432	████████████████████	\$ 124,588,426
Long-Term Debt as of End of Year	\$ 1,011,117,000	\$ 1,051,974,000	████████████████████	\$ 1,026,558,000

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

**EXHIBIT D - ASSETS HELD BY THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY AS OF JUNE 30, 2012
(EXCLUDING ITS LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUNDS HELD BY THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY)**

Assets	June 30, 2012
Cash or Equity in Pooled Cash and Investments	\$ 290,864,909
Unrealized Gain on Pooled Cash and Investments	552,414
Cash and Investments with Fiscal Agent	35,577,435
Unrealized Gain on Cash and Investments with Fiscal Agent	278,708
Investment Held by Trustee	73,765,745
Notes Receivable	7,549,608
Accounts Receivable from City of San Diego	77,800,362
Interest Receivable on Pooled Cash and Investments	253,662
Interest Receivable on Cash and Investments with Fiscal Agent	124,117
Deferred Charges	5,840,118
Capital Assets	119,062,021
Land Held for Resale	6,076,696
	617,745,795
Less: Non Low and Moderate Cash Funding Low and Moderate Enforceable Obligations	(1,845,227)
	\$ 615,900,568

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
EXHIBIT E - RESTRICTED ASSETS HELD BY THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY AS OF JUNE 30, 2012
(EXCLUDING ITS LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUNDS HELD BY THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY)

Identify the Document Requiring the Restriction for Each Restriction Identified in These Schedules. Indicate the Period of Time for Which the Restrictions are in Effect.
 Note Whether the Restrictions are in Effect Until the Related assets are Expended for Their Purpose

A. Unspent Bond Proceeds

Fund Number	Fund Name	Assets as of June 30, 2012	Source for the Computation of the Restricted Balance (i.e. Accounting Records or Other Supporting Documentation)	Document Requiring the Restriction	Period of Time the Restriction is in Effect		Unspent Bond Proceeds as of June 30, 2012
					Beginning	Ending	
Non-Housing Unspent Bond Proceeds							
Non-Housing Bond Funds Held with the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012							
Fund #400349 Assets	CC-TAB 2006A (TE) PROCEEDS	\$ 32,775,331	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2006	9/1/2030	\$ 1,680,647
Fund #400367 Assets	CC-TAB 1999B (T) PROCEEDS	4,244,876	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	1999	9/1/2024	4,232,577
Fund #400323 Assets	CL-PFFA LOANS 2007B (TE) PROCEEDS	3,196,429	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2007	10/1/2037	3,187,316
Fund #400309 Assets	SC-PFFA LOANS 2007B (TE) PROCEEDS	1,986,485	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2007	10/1/2037	1,980,741
Fund #400695 Assets	CH-TAB 2010 B (T)	806,634	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2037	804,301
Fund #400315 Assets	SC-PFFA LOANS 2007A (T) PROCEEDS	652,220	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2007	10/1/2037	650,841
Fund #400318 Assets	NP-LOC BOA 2006 (T) PROCEEDS	50,900	Accounting Records	Refunded by Non-Housing Bonds per Bond Official Statement	2009	11/1/2039	50,809
Fund #400319 Assets	NP-LOC BOA 2006 (TE) PROCEEDS	259,968	Accounting Records	Refunded by Non-Housing Bonds per Bond Official Statement	2009	11/1/2039	259,503
Fund #400694 Assets	CH-TAB 2010A (TE)	314,652	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2040	302,039
Fund #400312 Assets	NP-TAB 2003A (T) PROCEEDS	303,897	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2003	9/1/2027	303,018
Fund #400672 Assets	NP-TAB 2009A (TE) PROCEEDS	90,385	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2009	11/1/2039	89,867
Fund #400337 Assets	CL-PFFA LOANS 2007A (T) PROCEEDS	47,249	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2007	10/1/2037	47,122
Fund #400699 Assets	SY-TAB 2010 B (T)	39,574	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2035	39,450
Fund #400696 Assets	CR-TAB 2010 A (TE)	40,605	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2040	33,070
Fund #400306 Assets	NP-TAB 2000 (TE) PROCEEDS	26,494	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2000	9/1/2030	26,447
Fund #400697 Assets	NTC -TAB 2010A (TE)	12,138	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2040	12,103
Fund #400698 Assets	SY-TAB 2010 A (TE)	7,158	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2040	7,137
Fund #400369 Assets	CC-TAB 2004A (TE) PROCEEDS	2,732,519	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2004	9/1/2029	2,392,168
Fund #400310 Assets	NB-TAB 2000 (TE) PROCEEDS	3,024	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2000	9/1/2029	3,015
Fund #400317 Assets	NP-TAB 2003B (TE) PROCEEDS	2,059	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2003	9/1/2033	2,053
Fund #400334 Assets	HP-TAB 1996 (TE) PROCEEDS	1,329	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	1996	11/1/2017	1,329
Fund #400343 Assets	MH-TAB 2002A (TE) PROCEEDS	801	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2002	10/1/2026	799
Fund #400341 Assets	HP-TAB 2000 (TE) PROCEEDS	325	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2000	11/1/2021	325
Fund #400325 Assets	CL-TAB 2000 (TE) PROCEEDS	2	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2000	10/1/2030	2
Fund #400327 Assets	CC-PKG REVENUE 1999A (TE) PROCEEDS	609,938	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	1999	9/1/2025	27,842
Fund #400330 Assets	CC-PKG REVENUE 2003B (TE) PROCEEDS	4,547,300	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2003	9/1/2026	322,371
		52,752,292					16,456,892
Non-Housing Bond Reserve Funds							
Fund #300133 Assets	NP-D/S TAB 2000 (TE)	397,280	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2000	9/1/2030	385,852
Fund #300142 Assets	NB-D/S TAB 2000 (TE)	736,658	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2000	9/1/2029	715,072
Fund #300150 Assets	SC-D/S PFFA 2007	1,235,812	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2007	10/1/2037	1,235,812
Fund #300167 Assets	MH-D/S PFFA 2007	173,522	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2007	10/1/2037	173,522
Fund #300168 Assets	CL-D/S PFFA 2007	963,888	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2007	10/1/2037	963,888
Fund #300185 Assets	NP-D/S TAB 2003B(TE)	323,917	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2003	9/1/2033	323,917
Fund #300145 Assets	CC-D/S TAB 2000A	926,320	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2000	9/1/2024	920,387
Fund #300147 Assets	CC-D/S TAB 2004A(TE)	15,278,168	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2004	9/1/2029	15,202,387
Fund #300148 Assets	CC-D/S TAB 1999B	3,574,725	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	1999	9/1/2024	3,574,725
Fund #300149 Assets	CC-D/S TAB 2001A(TE)	2,556,685	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2001	9/1/2026	2,556,673
Fund #300152 Assets	CC-D/S TAB 2003A(TE)	2,845,567	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2003	9/1/2028	2,806,408
Fund #300154 Assets	CC-D/S TAB 1999A	4,991,979	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	1999	9/1/2018	5,003,372
Fund #300160 Assets	CC-D/S TAB 2000B(TE)	3,394,905	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2000	9/1/2024	3,399,307
Fund #300162 Assets	CC-D/S TAB 1999C	3,226,038	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	1999	9/1/2024	3,236,851
Fund #300165 Assets	CC-D/S TAB 2006A(TE)	14,313,181	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2006	9/1/2030	14,144,229
Fund #300166 Assets	CC- 1999A PARKING DEBT S	2,126,909	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	1999	9/1/2025	2,129,475
Fund #300170 Assets	CC-2003B(PRK) DEBT SERV	2,719,977	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2003	9/1/2026	2,691,995
Fund #300174 Assets	CH-D/S TAB 1999A(TE)	434,861	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	1999	9/1/2028	434,855
Fund #300175 Assets	CH-D/S TAB 1999B(TE)	1,014,111	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	1999	9/1/2028	1,014,097
Fund #300179 Assets	HP-D/S TAB 1996A(TE)	2,193,701	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	1996	11/1/2017	2,175,140
Fund #300181 Assets	HP-D/S TAB 2003B(TE)	740,090	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2003	11/1/2021	730,045
Fund #300182 Assets	NP-D/S TAB 2003A(TE)	967,912	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2003	11/1/2021	954,039
Fund #300184 Assets	NP-D/S TAB 2000 (TE)	3,032,525	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2000	11/1/2021	3,005,158
Fund #300193 Assets	MH-D/S TAB 1995A(TE)	76,988	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	1995	10/1/2020	76,988
Fund #300194 Assets	NP-D/S TAB 2003A (T)	540,467	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2003	9/1/2027	540,467
Fund #300228 Assets	NP-D/S TAB 2009A(TE)	1,393,047	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2009	11/1/2039	1,393,035
Fund #300331 Assets	CH-D/S TAB 2010 A (TE)	572,214	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2040	572,206
Fund #300332 Assets	NP-D/S TAB 2010 B (T)	942,053	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2037	942,041
Fund #300333 Assets	CR-D/S TAB 2010 A (TE)	464,127	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2040	464,121
Fund #300334 Assets	NTC-D/S TAB 2010 A (TE)	1,359,649	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2040	1,359,631
Fund #300335 Assets	SY-D/S TAB 2010 A (TE)	290,017	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2040	290,013
Fund #300336 Assets	SY-D/S TAB 2010 B (T)	334,795	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2035	334,791
		74,142,088					73,750,499

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
EXHIBIT E - RESTRICTED ASSETS HELD BY THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY AS OF JUNE 30, 2012 (CONTINUED)
(EXCLUDING ITS LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUNDS HELD BY THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY)

A. Unspent Bond Proceeds (Continued) Identify the Document Requiring the Restriction for Each Restriction Identified in These Schedules. Indicate the Period of Time for Which the Restrictions are in Effect.
 Note Whether the Restrictions are in Effect Until the Related assets are Expended for Their Purpose

Fund Number	Fund Name	Assets as of June 30, 2012	Source for the Computation of the Restricted Balance (i.e. Accounting Records or Other Supporting Documentation)	Document Requiring the Restriction	Period of Time the Restriction is in Effect		Unspent Bond Proceeds as of June 30, 2012
					Beginning	Ending	
Non-Housing Bond Funds Held with the City of San Diego as of June 30, 2012 - Cooperation Agreement							
Fund #400793 Assets	C-HP-TAB 2000 (TE)	5	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2000	11/1/2021	5
Fund #400794 Assets	C-NP-TAB 2003A (T)	5	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2003	9/1/2027	5
Fund #400796 Assets	C-HP-TAB 1996 (TE)	18	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	1996	11/1/2017	18
Fund #400797 Assets	C-CH-TAB 1999A (TE)	18,958	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	1999	9/1/2028	18,958
Fund #400798 Assets	C-NP-TAB 2-B64000 (TE)	365	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2000	9/1/2030	365
Fund #400799 Assets	C-NP-LOC BOA 2006(T)	700	Accounting Records	Refunded by Non-Housing Bonds per Bond Official Statement	2009	11/1/2039	700
Fund #400801 Assets	C-NP-TAB 2003B (TE)	209,231	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2003	9/1/2033	209,231
Fund #400803 Assets	C-NP-LOC BOA 2006-TE	3,577	Accounting Records	Refunded by Non-Housing Bonds per Bond Official Statement	2009	11/1/2039	3,577
Fund #400804 Assets	C-NB-TAB 2000 (TE)	304,026	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2000	9/1/2030	304,026
Fund #400805 Assets	CITY-NTC-LOC SDNB 2007	20	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Line of Credit Agreement	2010	9/1/2040	20
Fund #400806 Assets	C-SY-TAB 2010A (TE)	2,537,568	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2040	2,537,568
Fund #400807 Assets	C-CI-PFFA 2007B (TE)	273,395	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2007	10/1/2037	273,395
Fund #400808 Assets	C-SC-PFFA 2007B (TE)	1,734,770	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2007	10/1/2037	1,734,770
Fund #400809 Assets	C-SC-PFFA 2007A (T)	2,721,793	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2007	10/1/2037	2,721,793
Fund #400810 Assets	C-NP-TAB 2009A (TE)	3,791,443	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2009	11/1/2039	3,791,443
Fund #400811 Assets	C-CR-TAB 2010A (TE)	1,783,696	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2040	1,783,696
Fund #400812 Assets	C-SY-TAB 2010B (T)	1,239,714	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2035	1,239,714
Fund #400814 Assets	C-CH-TAB 2010B (T)	2,249,101	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2037	2,249,101
Fund #400815 Assets	C-CH-TAB 2010A (TE)	673,661	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2040	673,661
Fund #400816 Assets	C-NTC-TAB 2010A (TE)	1,952,375	Accounting Records	Non-Housing Bonds Official Statement	2010	9/1/2040	1,952,375
		<u>19,494,421</u>					<u>19,494,421</u>
Total Assets		<u>\$ 146,388,801</u>					<u>\$ 109,701,812</u>

B. Grant Proceeds and Program Income Identify the Document Requiring the Restriction for Each Restriction Identified in These Schedules. Indicate the Period of Time for Which the Restrictions are in Effect.
 Note Whether the Restrictions are in Effect Until the Related assets are Expended for Their Purpose

Fund Number	Fund Name	Assets as of June 30, 2012	Source for the Computation of the Restricted Balance (i.e. Accounting Records or Other Supporting Documentation)	Document Requiring the Restriction	Period of Time the Restriction is in Effect		Unspent Grant Proceeds/Cash on Hand as of June 30, 2012
					Beginning	Ending	
Fund #400657 Assets	CC-FED PED BRIDGE GRANT	\$ 2,684	Accounting Records	Grant Agreement	5/31/2007	5/31/2014	\$ -
Fund #400667 Assets	CC-STATE PED BRIDGE GRANT	12,697	Accounting Records	Grant Agreement	6/10/2009	6/8/2012	4,088
Total Assets		<u>\$ 15,381</u>					<u>\$ 4,088</u>

C. Other Assets Considered Legally Restricted Identify the Document Requiring the Restriction for Each Restriction Identified in These Schedules. Indicate the Period of Time for Which the Restrictions are in Effect.
 Note Whether the Restrictions are in Effect Until the Related assets are Expended for Their Purpose

Fund Number	Fund Name	Assets as of June 30, 2012	Source for the Computation of the Restricted Balance (i.e. Accounting Records or Other Supporting Documentation)	Document Requiring the Restriction	Period of Time the Restriction is in Effect		Cash on Hand as of June 30, 2012
					Beginning	Ending	
Fund #200591 Assets	CC-6TH & MKT PKG STRUCTURE OPER REV	\$ 2,009,811	Accounting Records	Trust Indenture	8/1/1999	As long as asset retained	\$ 2,003,999
Fund #200592 Assets	CC-6TH & K PKG STRUCTURE OPER REVENUE	1,431,809	Accounting Records	Trust Indenture	1/1/2003	As long as asset retained	1,427,669
Fund #200607 Assets	CC-GRANTVILLE SINKING FUND	4,399,591	Accounting Records	Cooperation Agreement	8/25/2008	10/1/2050	4,386,869
Fund #200637 Assets	GV-COOPERATION AGREEMENT	97,320	Accounting Records	Cooperation Agreement	8/25/2008	6/30/2050	97,038
Fund #200698 Assets	CC-GSA LEASE REVENUE 475 W BROADWAY	204,067	Accounting Records	Lease Agreement	2/21/2012	2/11/2015	203,723
Fund #400406 Assets	SY-DEV DEPOSIT LAS VENTANAS	42,444	Accounting Records	Exclusive Negotiating Agreement	7/26/2005	Until Deposit of Funds is Returned	42,321
Fund #400453 Assets	SY-DEV DEPOSIT BLVD MIXD USE	32,530	Accounting Records	Exclusive Negotiating Agreement	5/10/2004	Until Deposit of Funds is Returned	32,530
Fund #400522 Assets	REMEDIAION	309,744	Accounting Records	Court Judgment	6/5/2000	Untl Site is Remediated	308,849
Fund #400583 Assets	CC-DEV DEPOSIT 5TH & K LLC	2,296,622	Accounting Records	Disposition and Development Agreement	4/12/2004	Until Returned	2,289,982
Fund #400607 Assets	NP-DEV DEPOSIT WESTERN PACIFIC HSG	40,000	Accounting Records	Exclusive Negotiating Agreement	10/20/2003	Until Returned	40,000
Fund #400609 Assets	SC-LAS CHOLLAS CREEK ENHNCMENT	78,231	Accounting Records	Owner Participation Agreement	6/9/1987	Permanently	78,231
Fund #400627 Assets	BALBOA THEATRE CAP IMP & REPL	1,063,365	Accounting Records	Cooperation Agreement	10/18/2007	7/25/2023	1,062,586
Fund #400661 Assets	CC-NORTH EMBARCADERO - PORT OF SD	363,982	Accounting Records	Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement	4/11/2007	4/11/2047	362,930
Fund #400668 Assets	HP-BALBOA THEATRE DONATIONS	801,808	Accounting Records	Owner Participation Agreement	10/26/2010	No End Date	799,489
Fund #400669 Assets	HP-WESTFIELD GROUND LEASE	5,253,704	Accounting Records	Owner Participation Agreement	10/26/2010	No End Date	5,238,512
Fund #400692 Assets	CI-DEVELOPER DEPOSITS	18,832	Accounting Records	Exclusive Negotiating Agreement	10/4/2010	Non Refundable	18,804

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
EXHIBIT E - RESTRICTED ASSETS HELD BY THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY AS OF JUNE 30, 2012 (CONTINUED)
(EXCLUDING ITS LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUNDS HELD BY THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY)

C. Other Assets Considered Legally Restricted (Continued)

Identify the Document Requiring the Restriction for Each Restriction Identified in These Schedules. Indicate the Period of Time for Which the Restrictions are in Effect.
Note Whether the Restrictions are in Effect Until the Related assets are Expended for Their Purpose

Fund Number	Fund Name	Assets as of June 30, 2012	Source for the Computation of the Restricted Balance (i.e. Accounting Records or Other Supporting Documentation)	Document Requiring the Restriction	Period of Time the Restriction is in Effect		Unspent Bond Proceeds as of June 30, 2012
					Beginning	Ending	
Fund #400844 Assets	NP-3067 UNIVERSITY AVE DEVELOPER DEP	16,115	Accounting Records	Exclusive Negotiating Agreement	5/3/2011	Until Returned	16,069
Fund #400413 Assets	HP-WESTFIELD GROUND LEASE **	5,206,952	Accounting Records	Owner Participation Agreement	10/26/2010	No End Date	5,206,952
Fund #400429 Assets	EAST VILLAGE-PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE ***	2,626,764	Accounting Records	Resolution	6/9/2005	No End Date	2,626,764
Total Assets		<u>\$ 26,293,691</u>					<u>\$ 26,243,317</u>
Summary of Restricted Assets Listed in Tables A, B and C		<u>\$ 172,697,873</u>				Total Unspent Cash Listed in Tables A,B, & C	<u>\$ 135,949,217</u>

** Funds restricted in Fund 400413 transferred to Fund 400669 in accordance with the Operating Agreement for Horton Plaza
*** Funds transferred from the City of San Diego for the At-Grade Crossing per Resolution R-299916 - to be transferred from Fund 400654 to Fund 400429

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

EXHIBIT F - ASSETS OTHER THAN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS HELD BY THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY AS OF JUNE 30, 2012
(EXCLUDING LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUNDS ASSETS TRANSFERRED TO THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY)

Asset	June 30, 2012	Basis (i.e. Book Value/Fair Market Value)	Description of the Records Provided Supporting the Book Value Listed (i.e. Previously Audited Financial Statements or the Accounting Records) and any Differences Noted. If Differences Pertain to Disposal of Assets, Note Whether the Proceeds were Deposited Into the Successor Agency.	Description of the Methodology Used to Support the Market Value Listed. If no Evidence is Available to Support the Value and/or the Methodology Used, Note as a Finding in the Report.
Unrealized Gain on Pooled Cash and Investments	\$ 552,414	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Cash and Investments with Fiscal Agent	35,577,435	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Unrealized Gain on Cash and Investments with Fiscal Agent Investment Held by Trustee	278,708	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Accounts Receivable from City of San Diego	73,765,745	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Interest Receivable on Pooled Cash and Investments	77,800,362	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Interest Receivable on Cash and Investments with Fiscal Agent	253,662	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Land Held for Resale	124,117	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
American Plaza (1111 Kettner Blvd)	2,011,900	Fair Market Value	Accounting Records	Fair Market Value as appraised by the City's Real Estate Department
Bradley Woolman Chapel / Cedar GW	1,093,597	Fair Market Value	Accounting Records	Fair Market Value as appraised by the City's Real Estate Department
4102-4122 1/2 41st University Ave (Sally Wong Build)	1,050,000	Fair Market Value	Accounting Records	Fair Market Value as appraised by the City's Real Estate Department
Valencia Park Library / 101 50th Street	544,563	Fair Market Value	Accounting Records	Fair Market Value as appraised by the City's Real Estate Department
Hon /528-542 14th Street	526,056	Fair Market Value	Accounting Records	Fair Market Value as appraised by the City's Real Estate Department
Gallegos Lara Site /6395 & 6397 Imperial Ave	579,900	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Ouchi Site Housing/ 5003 Imperial Ave	270,680	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Deferred Charges	5,840,118	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Capital Assets				
So. Pacific/MTDB/King Promenade	13,208,877	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Horton Heirs	1,477,437	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
6th & Market	8,300,597	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
6th & K	24,174,986	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
East Village - MTDB	546,034	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Park Boulevard	6,690,579	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
705-721 14th Street & Park	4,314,876	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
East Village Green Parksite	30,130,722	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Mini Park Site (Vacant Land 43rd Street)	181,186	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Balboa Theatre	28,871,062	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Lyceum Theatre	7,461	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Linda Vista (Morley Park)	1,158,204	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Notes Receivable (Non-Forgivable)				
Chinese Historical Museum	56,312	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
MTS/SANDAG Cooperation Agrmt (Trolley)	829,750	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Hotel Metro	344,200	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Cedar Gateway	311,712	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
San Diego Convention Center	3,000,000	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Connections Housing Downtown, LP 80%	537,698	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
RTC Pearson Ford	1,445,000	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
San Diego Revitalization (Metro Villas)	870,700	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
Old Globe Theatre	154,235	Book Value	Accounting Records	-
	326,880,885			
Less Restricted non-cash balances to eliminate the effect of double counting (included in Exhibit E)	(73,750,499)			
Total Assets Other than Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 253,130,386			

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

EXHIBIT G - ASSETS TRANSFERRED TO THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY THAT ARE REQUIRED TO PAY ENFORCEABLE OBLIGATIONS: PROJECTION OF ANNUAL SPENDING REQUIREMENTS AND REVENUE

Enforceable Obligation as Identified on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule	Project Name/Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Projected Annual Spending Requirement	Assumptions Utilized in Spending Projections	Projected Annual Revenue	Assumptions Utilized in the Revenue Projections	Surplus / (Deficit)
151	Linda Vista Housing Enhancement Loan Program	San Diego Housing Commission	Linda Vista	117,435	117,435	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-	An average of the property tax distributions received from ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 less anticipated County SD257, State Controller's Office (SCO) Administration, Tax Sharing, Debt Service Payments, and Enforceable Obligations	(117,435)
164	B Street Pedestrian Corridor	DA/OPA with Santa Fe Depot	Centre City	908,588	155,152	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(155,152)
175	Gaslamp Renaissance	GRH, LLC	Centre City	50,000	50,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(50,000)
179	Villa Montezuma	City of San Diego	Centre City	355,985	55,457	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(55,457)
198	Horton Plaza Park	OPA with Westfield	Multiple PA	6,095,000	5,720,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(5,720,000)
199	Horton Plaza Park	Civic San Diego (Formerly Centre City Development Corporation) via the City of San Diego or other consultants	Horton Plaza	371,663	150,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(150,000)
203	Balboa Theatre	NRG Energy Center San Diego, LLC	Horton Plaza	255,136	30,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(30,000)
205	Lyceum Theatre	Various Future Payees	Horton Plaza	1,501,858	809,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(809,000)
206	Downtown Comprehensive Parking Plan Implementation	Civic San Diego (Formerly Centre City Development Corporation) via the City of San Diego	Centre City	500,000	500,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(500,000)
207	Downtown Comprehensive Parking Plan Implementation	Civic San Diego (Formerly Centre City Development Corporation) via the City of San Diego	Centre City	500,000	500,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(500,000)
231	Project management expense. Agreement with US EPA for Brownfields Community Assessment Grant for Central Imperial	SEDC	Southeastern SD	19,000	7,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(7,000)
246	Property Maintenance for City Heights Properties	Overland Pacific And Cutler Inc	City Heights	137,576	45,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(45,000)
251	Morley Green Public Improvements	RBF Consulting	Linda Vista	50,000	8,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(8,000)
273	Atmosphere, 15th & Island, Monarch School, 11 & Broadway, Palentine, and Quiet Zone (Acoustical Study) and other projects	Jones & Stokes	Centre City	50,215	20,215	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(20,215)
278	St. Joseph's Park, East Village Green, Seventh & Market, 6th & K Parkade, Children's Park, Fire Station No. 2, Monarch School, Harbor Drive Pedestrian Bridge and other Agency approved projects	Opper & Varco	Centre City	103,767	18,541	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(18,541)
282	North Embarcadero Visionary Plan Phase I	Civic San Diego (Formerly Centre City Development Corporation) via the City of San Diego or other consultants	Centre City	65,000	50,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(50,000)
295	Horton Plaza Park & Other Projects	URS Corp.	Centre City	103,253	20,014	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(20,014)
311	Water Service of Agency Properties	City Treasurer/Water Dept	All	-	7,043	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-	(7,043)	
383	Park Boulevard At-Grade Crossing	MTS	Centre City	59,122	50,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-	(50,000)	
387	Park & SD High School Crosswalk Improvements	Construction agreement for improvements.	Centre City	642,500	-	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-	-	

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

EXHIBIT G - ASSETS TRANSFERRED TO THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY THAT ARE REQUIRED TO PAY ENFORCEABLE OBLIGATIONS: PROJECTION OF ANNUAL SPENDING REQUIREMENTS AND REVENUE

Enforceable Obligation as Identified on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule	Project Name/Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Projected Annual Spending Requirement	Assumptions Utilized in Spending Projections	Projected Annual Revenue	Assumptions Utilized in the Revenue Projections	Surplus / (Deficit)
405	East Village Public Improvements	HTA Engineering	Centre City	1,281,921	125,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-	An average of the property tax distributions received from ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 less anticipated County SD257, State Controller's Office (SCO) Administration, Tax Sharing, Debt Service Payments, and Enforceable Obligations	(125,000)
438	Arbitrage Calculation Services (Bonds)	Omnicap	Multiple PA	2,000	1,500	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(1,500)
450	Insurance	Alliant Insurance Services	Horton Plaza	31,769	31,769	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(31,769)
451	Insurance	Alliant Insurance Services	Horton Plaza	71,438	71,438	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(71,438)
463	401 B Street, Suite 400	Irvine Company	Centre City	1,842,990	744,102	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(744,102)
464	Downtown Information Center	Westfield Horton Plaza	Centre City	167,420	167,420	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(167,420)
466	Administration Cost	City of San Diego or Other Consultants	All	-	2,129,405	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(2,129,405)
470	SESD Community Plan Amendment /Rezone 6125-6145 Imperial Avenue	SEDC	Southeastern SD	40,000	40,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(40,000)
474	General Property Management for All Project Areas	TBD	All	500,000	500,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(500,000)
475	Contingency Costs for All Project Areas	TBD	All	500,000	500,000	The anticipated expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015	-		(500,000)
Total Projected Annual Spending Requirement							\$ 12,623,491	Total Non Housing Obligations Deficit	(12,623,491)
Items Paid with Other Restricted Funds (included in Exhibit E)									2,084,598
Deficit as reported in Exhibit I									\$ (10,538,893)

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
 EXHIBIT H - ITEMIZED LIST AND ANALYSIS OF AMOUNTS OF CURRENT BALANCES NEEDED TO SATISFY RECOGNIZED
 OBLIGATION PAYMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2012 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2013

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTIF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
1	City Heights RTC Section 108 Loan	Federal Government (HUD), via City of San Diego	City Heights	\$ 1,909,194	\$ -	\$ 206,753	\$ 40,923	\$ (247,676)	\$ -	-
2	City Heights Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 1999 A	US Bank	City Heights	7,214,746	-	301,923	127,418	(429,341)	-	-
3	City Heights Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 1999 B	US Bank	City Heights	28,643,065	-	1,097,099	-	(1,097,099)	-	-
4	City Heights Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2003 A	Bank of New York	City Heights	9,582,451	-	157,799	157,799	(315,598)	-	-
5	City Heights Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2003 B	Bank of New York	City Heights	24,681	-	88,655	1,913	(90,568)	-	-
6	City Heights Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2010 A	US Bank	City Heights	14,450,782	-	158,484	158,484	(316,968)	-	-
7	City Heights Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2010 B	US Bank	City Heights	24,810,234	-	353,631	353,631	(707,262)	-	-
8	Housing Set-a-side, Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2010 (NP 18.2%)	US Bank	North Park	27,549,968	-	-	398,278	(398,278)	-	-
9	Housing Set-a-side, Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2010 (NTC 16.9%)	US Bank	Naval Training Center	25,583,647	-	-	369,838	(369,838)	-	-
10	Housing Set-a-side, Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2010 (CH 21.8%)	US Bank	City Heights	32,998,835	-	-	477,058	(477,058)	-	-
11	Housing Set-a-side, Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2010 (NB 22.1%)	US Bank	North Bay	33,454,085	-	-	483,623	(483,623)	-	-
12	Housing Set-a-side, Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2010 (CR 7.3%)	US Bank	Crossroads	11,051,560	-	-	159,749	(159,749)	-	-
13	Housing Set-a-side, Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2010 (SY 13.7%)	US Bank	San Ysidro	20,738,186	-	-	299,802	(299,802)	-	-
15	Naval Training Center Section 108 Loan	Federal Government (HUD), via City of San Diego	Naval Training Center	6,494,124	-	383,054	123,780	(506,834)	-	-
16	Naval Training Center Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2010 A	US Bank	Naval Training Center	38,839,697	-	845,684	505,659	(1,351,343)	-	-
17	North Bay - California Housing Financing Agency Loan	State of California	North Bay	1,325,964	-	-	420,000	(420,000)	-	-
18	North Bay Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2000	Wells Fargo Bank	North Bay	16,881,588	-	607,474	289,414	(896,888)	-	-
19	North Park Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2000	Wells Fargo Bank	North Park	9,245,370	-	324,580	155,455	(480,035)	-	-
20	North Park Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2003 A	Wells Fargo Bank	North Park	8,344,373	-	384,163	153,257	(537,420)	-	-

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
21	North Park Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2003 B	Wells Fargo Bank	North Park	10,410,824	-	129,666	129,666	(259,332)	-	-
22	North Park Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2009 A	Wells Fargo Bank	North Park	35,643,971	-	477,109	477,109	(954,218)	-	-
23	San Ysidro Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2010 A	US Bank	San Ysidro	7,374,363	-	83,375	83,375	(166,750)	-	-
24	San Ysidro Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2010 B	US Bank	San Ysidro	10,636,025	-	277,703	175,516	(453,219)	-	-
25	Crossroads Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2010	US Bank	Crossroads	10,701,749	-	187,324	136,574	(323,898)	-	-
37	Settlement Agreement. Grantville Cooperation Agreement for Affordable Housing Credit and Allocation Transfer	County of San Diego	Grantville	9,800,000	-	20,000	21,000	-	41,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
38	Settlement Agreement. Grantville Cooperation Agreement for funding Joint Projects	County of San Diego	Grantville	7,840,000	-	32,417	38,152	(38,152)	32,417	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
39	Settlement Agreement. Grantville Cooperation Agreement for funding Transit Line Improvements	City of San Diego	Grantville	31,360,000	-	129,666	152,610	(152,610)	129,666	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
40	Settlement, OIG Audit - Grantville	Federal Government (HUD)	Grantville	196,600	-	-	196,600	(128,887)	67,713	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
41	Settlement, OIG Audit - Linda Vista	Federal Government (HUD)	Linda Vista	981,800	-	-	127,300	-	127,300	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
42	Settlement, OIG Audit - Barrio Logan	Federal Government (HUD)	Barrio Logan	562,700	-	-	69,100	-	69,100	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
43	Settlement, OIG Audit - City Heights	Federal Government (HUD)	City Heights	3,056,800	-	-	363,000	-	363,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
44	Settlement, OIG Audit - College Community	Federal Government (HUD)	College Community	724,700	-	-	120,000	(120,000)	-	-
45	Settlement, OIG Audit - North Park	Federal Government (HUD)	North Park	1,922,200	-	-	224,600	(224,600)	-	-
46	Settlement, OIG Audit - San Ysidro	Federal Government (HUD)	San Ysidro	1,097,300	-	-	133,100	(133,100)	-	-
47	Cooperation Agreement for Payment of Costs Associated with Certain Redevelopment Agency Funded Projects (Barrio Logan)	City of San Diego	Barrio Logan	31,252,489	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Cooperation Agreement for Payment of Costs Associated with Certain Redevelopment Agency Funded Projects (City Heights)	City of San Diego	Barrio Logan	292,010,317	-	-	-	-	-	-
49	Cooperation Agreement for Payment of Costs Associated with Certain Redevelopment Agency Funded Projects (College Community)	City of San Diego	Barrio Logan	30,951,971	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Cooperation Agreement for Payment of Costs Associated with Certain Redevelopment Agency Funded Projects (College Grove)	City of San Diego	College Grove	23,095,780	-	-	-	-	-	-
51	Cooperation Agreement for Payment of Costs Associated with Certain Redevelopment Agency Funded Projects (Crossroads)	City of San Diego	Crossroads	167,129,608	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Cooperation Agreement for Payment of Costs Associated with Certain Redevelopment Agency Funded Projects (Grantville)	City of San Diego	Barrio Logan	139,537,983	-	-	-	-	-	-

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
54	Cooperation Agreement for Payment of Costs Associated with Certain Redevelopment Agency Funded Projects (Naval Training Center)	City of San Diego	Naval Training Center	75,158,892	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Cooperation Agreement for Payment of Costs Associated with Certain Redevelopment Agency Funded Projects (North Bay)	City of San Diego	North Bay	295,729,568	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Cooperation Agreement for Payment of Costs Associated with Certain Redevelopment Agency Funded Projects (North Park)	City of San Diego	Barrio Logan	160,817,853	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Cooperation Agreement for Payment of Costs Associated with Certain Redevelopment Agency Funded Projects (San Ysidro)	City of San Diego	San Ysidro	133,199,784	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Cooperation Agreement for Payment of Costs Associated with Certain Redevelopment Agency Funded Projects (Centre City)	City of San Diego	Centre City	2,561,782,810	-	-	-	-	-	-
59	Cooperation Agreement for Payment of Costs Associated with Certain Redevelopment Agency Funded Projects (Horton Plaza)	City of San Diego	Horton Plaza	43,670,854	-	-	-	-	-	-
60	Cooperation Agreement for Payment of Costs Associated with Certain Redevelopment Agency Funded Projects (SEDC)	City of San Diego	Southeastern SD	167,838,882	-	-	-	-	-	-
61	Centre City - Grantville Settlement Agreement	County of San Diego	Centre City	31,360,000	-	1,067,273	(937,607)	-	129,666	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
62	Centre City Parking Revenue Bonds, Series 1999 A	Bank of New York	Centre City	12,518,347	-	-	263,892	(263,892)	-	-
63	Centre City Parking Revenue Bonds, Series 2003 B	Wells Fargo Bank	Centre City	21,248,696	-	-	379,800	(379,800)	-	-
64	Centre City Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 1999 A	US Bank	Centre City	22,535,676	-	-	454,397	(454,397)	-	-
65	Centre City Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 1999 B	US Bank	Centre City	2,611,126	-	-	76,250	(76,250)	-	-
66	Centre City Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 1999 C	US Bank	Centre City	14,338,710	-	-	252,456	(252,456)	-	-
67	Centre City Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2000 A	Union Bank	Centre City	5,551,584	-	-	107,536	(107,536)	-	-
68	Centre City Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2000 B	Bank of New York	Centre City	21,970,556	-	-	413,776	(413,776)	-	-
69	Centre City Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2001 A	Bank of New York	Centre City	99,908,956	-	-	1,000,128	(717,128)	283,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
70	Centre City Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2003 A	Wells Fargo Bank	Centre City	19,118,316	-	-	292,755	(292,755)	-	-
71	Centre City Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2004 A	Wells Fargo Bank	Centre City	116,655,938	-	-	1,995,550	(1,995,550)	-	-
72	Centre City Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2004 C	Wells Fargo Bank	Centre City	33,855,618	-	-	645,509	(645,509)	-	-
73	Centre City Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2004 D	Wells Fargo Bank	Centre City	10,984,885	-	-	211,667	(211,667)	-	-
74	Centre City Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006 A - Projects include Parks, the North Embarcadero, Quiet Zone and Fire Stations	Deutsche Bank	Centre City	107,813,463	-	-	1,695,944	(1,695,944)	-	-

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
75	Centre City Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006 B	Deutsche Bank	Centre City	52,029,708	-	-	908,368	(908,368)	-	-
76	Centre City Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2008 A	Deutsche Bank	Centre City	66,050,283	-	-	1,493,287	(1,493,287)	-	-
77	Horton Plaza Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2000	Bank of New York	Horton Plaza	13,052,897	-	-	296,006	(296,006)	-	-
78	Horton Plaza Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2003 A	Wells Fargo Bank	Horton Plaza	8,407,338	-	-	155,103	(155,103)	-	-
79	Horton Plaza Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2003 B	Wells Fargo Bank	Horton Plaza	5,083,428	-	-	101,008	(101,008)	-	-
80	Horton Plaza Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2003 C	Wells Fargo Bank	Horton Plaza	7,961,417	-	-	192,654	(192,654)	-	-
81	Horton Plaza Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 1996 A	Bank of New York	Horton Plaza	3,416,700	-	91,350	91,350	(182,700)	-	-
82	Settlement, OIG Audit - Centre City	Federal Government (HUD)	Centre City	57,230,000	-	-	2,500,000	(2,500,000)	-	-
84	Convention Center Cooperation Agreement	City of San Diego	Centre City	226,570,688	-	2,500,000	-	-	2,500,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
85	Ballpark Cooperation Agreement	City of San Diego	Centre City	237,671,163	-	11,321,250	-	-	11,321,250	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
88	Central Imperial PFFA Bonds (Taxable), Series 2007 A	Bank of New York	Southeastern SD	12,720,512	-	656,790	196,347	(853,136)	1	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
89	Central Imperial PFFA Bonds (Tax Exempt), Series 2007 B	Bank of New York	Southeastern SD	14,348,706	-	-	194,268	(194,268)	-	-
90	Mount Hope PFFA Bonds (Taxable), Series 2007 A	Bank of New York	Southeastern SD	2,891,605	-	268,224	62,274	(330,498)	-	-
91	Mount Hope Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 1995 A	Bank of New York	Southeastern SD	739,226	-	77,331	15,569	(92,900)	-	-
92	Mount Hope Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2002 A	Bank of New York	Southeastern SD	4,896,721	-	76,375	76,375	(152,750)	-	-
93	Settlement, OIG Audit - Central Imperial	Federal Government (HUD)	Southeastern SD	1,262,900	-	-	133,100	(133,100)	-	-
95	Settlement, OIG Audit - Mount Hope	Federal Government (HUD)	Southeastern SD	407,700	-	-	49,600	(49,600)	-	-
96	Settlement, OIG Audit - Southcrest	Federal Government (HUD)	Southeastern SD	574,000	-	-	60,500	(60,500)	-	-
97	Southcrest PFFA Bonds (Taxable), Series 2007 A	Bank of New York	Southeastern SD	12,464,154	-	826,927	217,165	(1,044,092)	-	-
98	Southcrest PFFA Bonds (Tax Exempt), Series 2007 B	Bank of New York	Southeastern SD	13,580,550	-	-	200,349	(200,349)	-	-
106	NTC Civic, Arts and Culture Center Taxes	County of San Diego Tax Collector	Naval Training Center	1	-	-	2,887	-	2,887	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
107	Second Rehabilitation Grant Agreement	NTC Foundation	Naval Training Center	19,986	19,986	-	-	-	19,986	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
108	NTC Disposition and Development Agreement dated 6/26/00 (document #D-03175a)	McMillin-NTC, LLC	Naval Training Center	3,000,000	-	1,000,000	1,500,000	(1,000,000)	1,500,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
109	NTC Disposition and Development Agreement dated 6/26/00	First American Title Company	Naval Training Center	150,000	4,000	-	10,000	-	14,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
110	NTC Disposition and Development Agreement project management, monitoring, and auditing	City of San Diego	Naval Training Center	50,000	-	4,500	4,500	-	9,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
111	NTC Leases project management, monitoring and auditing	City of San Diego	Naval Training Center	150,000	-	2,000	2,000	-	4,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
112	NTC Steam Lines Undergrounding	TBD	Naval Training Center	3,500,000	-	500,000	500,000	-	1,000,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
113	Brownfields Assessment EPA Grant	Ninyo & Moore, Rincon, and Oppen & Varco Consultants	City Heights	382,000	-	79,800	70,000	-	149,800	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
114	Third Rehabilitation Grant Agreement	NTC Foundation	Naval Training Center	2,900,000	-	700,000	244,000	(244,000)	700,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
115	First Amendment to Third Rehabilitation Grant Agreement	NTC Foundation	Naval Training Center	729,839	729,839	-	-	-	729,839	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
116	NTC Rehabilitation Grant Agreements project management, monitoring and auditing	City of San Diego	Naval Training Center	30,000	-	6,000	6,000	-	12,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
117	North Park Parking Garage Disposition and Development Agreement	NPW 2930, LLC	North Park	189,425	770	5,900	-	-	6,670	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
118	North Park Parking Garage Disposition and Development Agreement	NPW 2930, LLC	North Park	272,774	1,735	-	-	-	1,735	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
119	North Park Gateway Disposition and Development Agreement	North Park Gateway LLC	North Park	100,000	50,000	-	-	-	50,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
120	Project management, monitoring, and auditing of DDA obligations	City of San Diego, Title Company, Construction Auditing Company	North Park	36,000	4,000	-	-	-	4,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
121	La Boheme - North Park	Developer of the La Boheme Project	North Park	514,152	514,102	-	50	-	514,152	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
123	Tenant Improvements to Renaissance community space	Contractor TBD	North Park	325,000	-	325,000	-	-	325,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
124	Owner Participation Agreement	Florida Street Housing Associates, L.P.	North Park	2,240,848	1,654,549	-	586,299	-	2,240,848	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
125	Florida St OPA project management, monitoring, and auditing	City of San Diego	North Park	5,000	2,000	2,000	-	-	4,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
126	Rehabilitation Loan Agreement	Wang's North Park Partners, LP	North Park	78,092	78,092	-	-	-	78,092	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
127	Project management, monitoring, and auditing of outstanding Loan Agreements	City of San Diego and Auditing Company	North Park	21,000	-	2,500	-	-	2,500	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
128	Parking Management Agreement	Ace Parking Management, Inc.	North Park	477,964	30,000	36,000	-	-	66,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
129	Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) and Associated Actions for the North Park Parking Facility Project	Ace Parking Management, Inc.	North Park	133,000	22,000	111,000	-	-	133,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
132	Peninsula Family YMCA Project Phase II, First Implementation Agreement R-04041 dated June 27, 2006; Site Improvement Assistance Agreement R-03441 dated March 19, 2002	YMCA of San Diego County (Peninsula Branch)	North Bay	575,000	575,000	-	-	-	575,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
133	Morena Linda Vista Trolley Park-and-Ride Project; Public Use Lease Agreement R-03582 dated July 18, 2003	Metropolitan Transit Development Board (MTDB)	North Bay	600,000	-	-	300,000	-	300,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
135	Morena Vista Transit-Oriented Development Project	Morena Vista Development, LLC	North Bay	900,000	-	-	100,000	-	100,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
136	Prevailing Wage Monitoring -Veterans Village of San Diego Phase IV	City of San Diego Equal Opportunity Contracting Program	North Bay	10,000	6,000	4,000	-	-	10,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
139	Financial Assistance Agreement with Endangered Habitats Conservancy and Ocean Discovery Institute for the Swan Canyon Restoration Project	Endangered Habitats Conservancy and Ocean Discovery Institute	City Heights	69,474	-	24,400	40,726	-	65,126	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
140	Second Amendment to Agreement for Rental Service of Security Window Screens and Doors	Vacant Property Security, Inc	City Heights	24,400	-	8,800	3,900	-	12,700	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
141	Office Space in City Heights	Price Charities/ConAm (Property Managers) via City of San Diego	City Heights	84,420	6,880	23,868	24,091	-	54,839	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
142	South Bay Fence Inc	South Bay Fence Inc	City Heights	19,551	3,551	-	4,000	-	7,551	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
143	Code Enforcement	Development Services Department (City of San Diego)	City Heights	-	134,048	-	-	-	134,048	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
144	Historic Silverado Ballroom Restoration	David Chin Chau and Ngo M. Chau	City Heights	1,363,202	35,141	1,328,061	-	-	1,363,202	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
145	EPA Grant	City of San Diego	City Heights	17,000	5,000	6,000	6,000	-	17,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
150	College Grove Housing Enhancement Loan Program	San Diego Housing Commission	College Grove	93,867	93,867	-	-	-	93,867	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
151	Linda Vista Housing Enhancement Loan Program	San Diego Housing Commission	Linda Vista	117,435	117,435	-	-	-	117,435	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
153	Sunshine North Park Storefront Improvement Project	Sunshine North Park LLC	North Park	50,000	-	50,000	-	-	50,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
154	Storefront Improvement Program	City of San Diego / Economic Development	North Park	4,000	2,000	2,000	-	-	4,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
155	Storefront Improvement Program	City of San Diego / Equal Opportunity Contracting Program	North Park	3,000	1,500	1,500	-	-	3,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
163	Code Enforcement:	Development Services Department (City of San Diego)	Crossroads	154,616	154,616	-	-	-	154,616	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
164	B Street Pedestrian Corridor	DA/OPA with Santa Fe Depot	Centre City	960,305	155,152	753,435	51,717	-	960,304	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
168	North Embarcadero Visionary Plan	San Diego Unified Port District	Centre City	20,894,118	7,074,306	10,447,059	10,447,059	(8,241,995)	19,726,429	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
170	Cedar Gateway Historic Chapel	Cedar Gateway, L.P.	Centre City	1,360,066	-	1,200,000	160,066	-	1,360,066	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
171	Pinnacle - 15th & Island	Pinnacle Bayside Development US L.P.	Centre City	4,690,426	1,357,163	3,350,460	-	-	4,707,623	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
172	Pinnacle - 15th & Island	CCDC	Centre City	85,000	22,667	53,430	8,903	-	85,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
173	Façade Improvement Project @ 818 Fifth Avenue	Mercantile Properties, LLC	Centre City	30,000	-	30,000	-	-	30,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
175	Gaslamp Renaissance	GRH, LLC	Centre City	2,291,984	-	-	2,241,984	-	2,241,984	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
179	Villa Montezuma	City of San Diego	Centre City	550,000	55,457	299,748	194,015	-	549,220	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
182	Joan Kroc Center	S.V.D.P. Management, Inc.	Centre City	72,445	193,087	-	-	-	193,087	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
183	Permanent Homeless Shelter	Connections Housing LP	Centre City	8,858,480	236,370	1,112,197	-	-	1,348,567	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
185	Monarch School	City of San Diego	Centre City	700,000	13,700	-	42,300	-	56,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
186	Two America Plaza	One America Plaza Owners Association	Centre City	236,090	-	-	150,000	(150,000)	-	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
188	Two America Plaza	Community Building Services	Centre City	250,000	-	150,000	100,000	(100,000)	150,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
197	Gaslamp Renaissance	Consultants	Centre City	100,000	33,333	66,667	-	-	100,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
198	Horton Plaza Park	OPA with Westfield	Horton Plaza	12,189,581	-	-	5,720,000	-	5,720,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
199	Horton Plaza Park	OPA with Westfield	Horton Plaza	572,878	-	-	375,000	-	375,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
199	Horton Plaza Park	CCDC	Horton Plaza	-	469	250,000	150,000	-	400,469	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
200	Ballpark Village	CCDC	Centre City	100,000	24,531	50,000	50,000	-	124,531	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
201	Barrio Logan Community Plan	Recon and MIG via the City of San Diego	Horton Plaza	450,949	-	-	482,448	-	482,448	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
203	Balboa Theatre	NRG Energy Center San Diego, LLC	Horton Plaza	285,321	2,676	15,000	15,000	-	32,676	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
204	Balboa Theatre	San Diego Theatres Inc	Horton Plaza	2,853,224	-	287,960	-	-	287,960	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
205	Lyceum Theatre	Various Future Payees	Horton Plaza	3,133,266	46,266	660,000	1,618,000	-	2,324,266	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	FAR Bonus Funds for Park	City of San Diego/FAR Trust Fund	Centre City	-	1,707,144	-	-	-	1,707,144	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
206	Downtown Comprehensive Parking Plan Implementation	CCDC	Centre City	700,000	1,996,299	-	400,000	-	2,396,299	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
207	Downtown Comprehensive Parking Plan Implementation	CCDC	Centre City	410,000	1,421,731	-	260,000	-	1,681,731	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
208	Cash Deposit for Remediation of East Village Green - East Block.	Unknown	Centre City	64,850	-	-	15,000	-	15,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
211	Cash Deposit for Remediation of East Village Green - West Block.	Unknown	Centre City	220,000	-	220,000	-	-	220,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
212	Cash Deposit for Remediation of 1320 Broadway (13th & Broadway) for a future fire station	Unknown	Centre City	250,000	-	250,000	-	-	250,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
215	Cash Deposit for Remediation of 13th & Market.	Unknown	Centre City	64,389	-	64,389	-	-	64,389	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
216	Cash Deposit for Remediation of 7th & Market.	Unknown	Centre City	665,420	-	660,000	-	-	660,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
218	Owner Participation Agreement Market Creek Plaza and Public Infrastructure	Market Creek Partners, LLC	Southeastern SD	3,800,000	-	2,400,000	-	-	2,400,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
219	Owner Participation Agreement SDG&E OPA for Metro Site Contractual and statutorily obligation. SDGE required to pay mitigation funds (not tax increment) for CEQA impact mitigation to improve Chollas Creek in SESD, being held by Agency to implement use of	SEDC	Southeastern SD	77,348	-	77,348	-	-	77,348	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
220	Disposition and Development Agreement for 33rd & E Street Industrial Infill Development contractual obligation and litigation settlement to provide site and public improvements for development.	Petrarca Contractor performing off-site improvements in accordance with DDA	Southeastern SD	187,639	-	-	187,639	-	187,639	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
221	Valencia Business Park ENA contract providing development for low income local jobs required by Potter Tract HUD 108 Loan	SEDC	Southeastern SD	700,000	-	350,000	-	-	350,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
222	Contracted CIP - 252 Corridor Park Cooperation Agreement - Phase II Southeast Trails Park and Southeast 2007B Tax Exempt Bonds Obligation	SEDC/ Public Facilities Financing Authority	Southeastern SD	1,300,000	-	1,100,000	-	-	1,100,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
223	Approved CIP for Southeast Streetlights Design and Installation and Southeast 2007B Tax Exempt Bonds Obligation - Southeast Streetlights Design and Installation	SEDC/ Public Facilities Financing Authority	Southeastern SD	-	527,270	-	-	-	527,270	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
224	Caltrans Environmental Justice Grant Award Strategic Plan Economic Development Strategy, Smart Code and Master EIR with Community Plan Update	SEDC	Southeastern SD	59,000	-	59,000	-	(59,000)	-	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
225	Strategic Plan Economic Development Strategy, Smart Code and Master EIR with Community Plan Update	SEDC	Southeastern SD	1,757,383	-	285,000	-	-	285,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
226	Imperial Avenue Corridor Master Plan - 101 50th Street Former Library Site and Central Imperial 2007A Taxable Bonds Obligation	SEDC/ Public Facilities Financing Authority	Southeastern SD	400,000	-	400,000	-	(400,000)	-	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
227	Health and Safety Statutory Obligation - Low mod funds used for property acquisition for affordable housing development - Ouchi Courtyards 5003 Imperial Avenue	SEDC	Southeastern SD	600,000	-	600,000	-	-	600,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
229	JPA- PFFA-Southeast 2007B Tax Exempt Bonds Obligation- Commercial Façade SIP - Memoranda of Lien	Individual Property Owners/Business Tenants	Southeastern SD	250,000	-	100,000	-	-	100,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
230	JPA- PFFA-Central Imperial 2007A Taxable Bonds Obligation- Commercial Façade SIP - Memoranda of Lien	Individual Property Owners/Business Tenants	Southeastern SD	250,000	-	100,000	-	-	100,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
231	Project management expense. Agreement with US EPA for Brownfields Community Assessment Grant for Central Imperial	SEDC	Southeastern SD	24,000	1,000	6,000	6,000	(12,000)	1,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
232	Project management expense. Affordable Housing Compliance Monitoring	SEDC	Southeastern SD	19,000	-	-	5,997	-	5,997	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Water Expense related to Property Management for Valencia Business Park, 5595 Stevens Way	City Treasurer/Water Department	Southeastern SD	-	7,257	14,400	-	(8,963)	12,694	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
233	Management Assessment District Fees	City of San Diego	Southeastern SD	6,500	1,300	-	-	-	1,300	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
235	Memorandum of Understanding dated January 3, 2011 and amended February 18, 2011	City of San Diego	Southeastern SD	34,000	-	11,935	-	-	11,935	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
236	Contract for Consulting Services	Nasland Engineering	North Bay	171,680	45,000	30,000	-	-	75,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
237	Contract for Consulting Services	Seo Consulting Inc	North Bay	10,000	6,073	10,000	-	-	16,073	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
238	Contract for Consulting Services	Safdie Rabines Architects	San Ysidro	187,000	37,500	45,000	-	-	82,500	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
239	Contract for Services	San Ysidro Business Association	San Ysidro	342	1,603	-	342	-	1,945	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
240	Engineering Consulting Services for Grantville Mission Gorge Road Project	Nasland Engineering	Grantville	70,000	30,000	50,000	20,000	-	100,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
242	Affordable Housing Database support - Barrio Logan	Michael Chasse	Barrio Logan	10,000	-	-	30	-	30	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
242	Affordable Housing Database support	Michael Chasse	City Heights	-	-	-	528	-	528	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
242	Affordable Housing Database support	Michael Chasse	College Community	-	-	-	75	-	75	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
242	Affordable Housing Database support	Michael Chasse	College Grove	-	71	-	37	-	108	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
242	Affordable Housing Database support	Michael Chasse	Crossroads	-	-	-	238	-	238	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
242	Affordable Housing Database support	Michael Chasse	Grantville	-	-	-	178	-	178	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
242	Affordable Housing Database support	Michael Chasse	Linda Vista	-	-	-	7	-	7	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
242	Affordable Housing Database support	Michael Chasse	Naval Training Center	-	-	-	238	-	238	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
242	Affordable Housing Database support	Michael Chasse	North Bay	-	-	-	432	-	432	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
242	Affordable Housing Database support	Michael Chasse	North Park	-	-	-	305	-	305	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
242	Affordable Housing Database support	Michael Chasse	San Ysidro	-	-	-	432	-	432	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
244	Relocation Services for Silverado Ballroom Project	Epic Land Solutions	City Heights	18,700	24	5,700	13,000	-	18,724	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
245	Environmental Legal Consulting Services for City Heights Square Project	Opper & Varco	City Heights	200,000	1,952	66,667	-	-	68,619	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
246	Property Maintenance for City Heights Properties	Overland Pacific And Cutler Inc	City Heights	172,788	7,745	-	35,212	-	42,957	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
247	Property maintenance of Linda Vista Property (6901 Linda Vista Rd.)	Epic Land Solutions	Linda Vista	15,000	1,757	3,000	3,000	-	7,757	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
248	DDA and Associated Actions for the North Park Parking Facility Project (See Section II - Line 27)	Laurie Fisher	North Park	50,000	-	12,000	-	-	12,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
249	Renaissance community space tenant improvements	OBR Architecture Inc	North Park	18,000	10,000	5,000	-	-	15,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
250	Property Management - Agency-owned Linda Vista property (6901-6021 Linda Vista Road)	Overland Pacific And Cutler Inc	Linda Vista	185,000	5,000	-	17,000	-	22,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
251	Morley Green Public Improvements	RBF Consulting	Linda Vista	158,000	40,037	-	150,000	-	190,037	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
253	Contract for Economic/Financial Consulting Services	Economic Research Associates/AECOM	Grantville	29,330	-	-	14,938	-	14,938	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
255	Seventh and Market, Fire Station Station No. 2, and other approved projects.	Advantage Environmental Consultants	Centre City	69,860	84,795	48,000	21,860	-	154,655	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
256	Environmental Review Consultant Services.	AECOM/EDAW	Centre City	42,418	30,000	27,818	-	-	57,818	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
257	Ballpark Remediation, Seventh & Market, other approved projects and Archoo/Paleo Monitoring Fire Station #2.	ASM Affiliates	Centre City	42,270	24,000	18,270	-	-	42,270	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
259	Archaeological/Paleontological Monitoring on approved projects.	Brian F. Smith & Associates	Centre City	25,000	25,000	-	25,000	-	50,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
260	St. Cecilia's Chapel	Cassidy Turley/BRE	Centre City	76,000	-	76,000	-	-	76,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
261	East Village Green - West & East Blocks, 1451 F Street, 1620 6th Avenue.	Community Building Services	Centre City	5,934	6,776	-	-	-	6,776	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
262	St. Joseph's Park, Atmosphere, 1451 F Street, Atmosphere, LaFornara	Daley & Heft, LLP	Centre City	30,896	24,775	6,814	-	-	31,589	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
265	Horton Plaza Park	AECOM/EDAW	Centre City	733	733	-	-	-	733	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
266	St. Joseph's Park, East Village Green, Mason Hotel.	Epic Land Solutions & Various Location Payees	Centre City	83,720	106,985	95,166	-	-	202,151	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
267	India Street Improvements	Flores Lund	Centre City	3,600	-	3,600	-	-	3,600	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
268	East Village Green and Children's Park	Fusco Engineering	Centre City	13,029	13,029	-	-	-	13,029	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
270	Active Public works contracts such as Quite Zone, East Village Streetscape, Cortez Family Center, Fire Station #2 listed in Section IV; Horton Plaza, Pinnacle listed in Section II of the EOPS	Gonzales White Consulting Services	Centre City	50,382	15,950	11,572	25,000	-	52,522	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
271	Permanent Homeless Shelter, St. Cecilia's Chapel and other approved projects	Heritage Architecture	Centre City	24,912	24,912	-	-	-	24,912	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
272	East Village Green, 1451 F Street, 1620 6th Avenue and other agency owned sites.	JMJ Inc.	Centre City	37,970	9,400	15,000	17,500	-	41,900	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
273	Atmosphere, 15th & Island, Monarch School, 11 & Broadway, Palentine, and Quiet Zone (Acoustical Study) and other projects	Jones & Stokes	Centre City	78,293	23,016	30,000	27,797	-	80,813	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013			
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
274	St. Joseph's Park, East Village Green.	Jones Roach & Caringella	Centre City	23,602	10,500	6,550	6,552	-	23,602	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Santa Fe Depot	Keyser Marston & Associates	Centre City	-	5,000	-	-	-	5,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	First/Island Right-of-way	Keyser Marston & Associates	Centre City	-	7,500	-	-	-	7,500	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
275	St. Cecilia's Chapel Rehabilitation	Keyser Marston & Associates	Centre City	372,977	10,000	-	-	-	10,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
275	Monarch School	Keyser Marston & Associates	Centre City	-	4,932	-	-	-	4,932	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
275	On-Call Financial Services	Keyser Marston & Associates	Centre City	-	-	156,750	156,750	-	313,500	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
276	Hon LLP and LaFomara Litigation	Law Office of Donald Detsich	Centre City	270,045	54,890	209,998	155,002	(155,002)	264,888	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
277	Historical Resources Legal Consultant	Marie Burke Lia	Centre City	73,851	6,000	6,000	6,000	-	18,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
278	St. Joseph's Park, East Village Green, Seventh & Market, 6th & K Parkade, Children's Park, Fire Station No. 2, Monarch School, Harbor Drive Pedestrian Bridge and other Agency approved projects	Opper & Varco	Centre City	279,612	29,871	81,620	199,998	-	311,489	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
279	SOHO Settlement Agreement	Page & Turnbull	Centre City	21,515	25,360	-	-	-	25,360	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
280	Sustainability Master Plan	Paladino & Company	Centre City	17,831	15,000	2,831	-	-	17,831	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
281	North Embarcadero Visionary Plan	Project Design Consultants	Centre City	167,146	-	69,040	69,040	-	138,080	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
282	North Embarcadero Visionary Plan Phase I	CCDC	Centre City	166,795	-	-	166,875	-	166,875	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
283	East Village Streetscape and Park & San Diego High School Crosswalk.	Project Professional Corp.	Centre City	7,988	20,916	-	-	-	20,916	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
284	Complete Mobility Study	McCormick Rankin	Centre City	40,000	20,000	20,000	-	-	40,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
285	East Village Green West & East Block, 1451 F Street and 1420 6th Avenue.	N.N. Jaeschke, Inc.	Centre City	5,181	5,002	5,772	-	-	10,774	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
286	1625 Newton Avenue, Monarch School, East Village Green.	Niño & Moore	Centre City	7,601	7,601	-	-	-	7,601	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
288	Wayfinding System Design	Rick Engineering	Centre City	-	-	-	7,935	-	7,935	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
290	Civic Center, Seventh & Market, East Village Green, 1451 F Street.	SCS Engineers	Centre City	83,327	40,857	26,308	26,308	-	93,473	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
291	Parks Implementation Master Plan	Spurlock Poirier	Centre City	244,556	244,556	-	-	-	244,556	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
293	St. Joseph's Park	Tetra-Tech	Centre City	331	331	-	-	-	331	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
294	Comprehensive Lighting Plan	Tucker Sadler	Centre City	105,018	-	-	5,486	-	5,486	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
295	Horton Plaza Park.	URS Corp.	Centre City	129,147	22,968	36,000	36,000	-	94,968	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
297	Fire Station No. 2	Rob Wellington Quigley, FAIA	Horton Plaza	-	278,597	-	-	-	278,597	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
298	Fire Station No. 2 Project Management	City of San Diego	Horton Plaza	275,000	30,000	105,000	105,000	-	240,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
299	Lyceum Theatre	Westlake, Reed & Leskosky	Horton Plaza	19,336	19,336	-	-	-	19,336	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
300	Horton Plaza Park	Walker Macy	Horton Plaza	119,514	426,953	119,514	-	-	546,467	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
301	Downtown Traffic Study	Sandag	Centre City	25,145	7,120	13,144	13,144	-	33,410	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	New Central Library	WRISC, Inc.	Centre City	-	693	-	-	-	693	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
302	New Central Library - Project Management Services	City of San Diego	Centre City	132,863	10,518	130,800	-	-	141,318	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
303	I-5 Bridge Streetlights, East Village Streetscape, Park Boulevard Crossing, Park and San Diego High School Crosswalk.	Nasland Engineering	Centre City	258,884	92,450	109,800	77,231	-	279,481	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
306	Complete Mobility SEIR under SOFAR Settlement Agreement.	AECOM/EDAW	Centre City	61,432	77,490	-	-	-	77,490	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
307	GHG SEIR	AECOM/EDAW	Centre City	162,812	80,000	90,000	-	-	170,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
308	5-year Traffic Study	AECOM/EDAW	Centre City	80,000	80,000	-	-	-	80,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
309	North Embarcadero Visionary Plan and other approved Agency projects.	Teresa Gonzalez-White	Centre City	25,000	8,000	12,000	5,000	-	25,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
310	SEDC Contract for consulting services related to SDG and E Mitigation fund Project (Green Alley Final Block)	BRG Consulting, Inc.	Southeastern SD	1,999	-	1,999	-	-	1,999	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
311	Property Management	City Treasurer/Water Dept	Southeastern SD	40,873	-	-	30,000	-	30,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
312	SEDC Contract for Graphic Design Services related to Strategic Plan Economic Development Strategy, Smart Growth Code and Master EIR	Deneen Powell Atelier Inc	Southeastern SD	32,750	-	26,506	6,244	(32,750)	-	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
313	SEDC Contract for environmental consulting related to DDA and OPA Schedule and Strategic Economic Smart Code Master EIR	Helix Environmental Planning Inc	Southeastern SD	28,672	12,624	-	-	-	12,624	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
316	SEDC Contract for corporate expert witness services related to on-going litigation	Gary Whited	Southeastern SD	28,730	-	30,000	-	-	30,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
317	SEDC Contract for Environmental Planning Services related to DDA and OPA schedule	Recon Environmental	Southeastern SD	12,665	-	12,665	-	(12,665)	-	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
318	SEDC Contract for engineering services related to DDA and OPA Schedule	Rick Engineering	Southeastern SD	79,101	-	13,830	7,863	-	21,693	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
319	SEDC Contract for Property Management Services related to DDA Schedule-SEDC Tab 5 Item 37	Rodney Smith DBA Rightway Landscaping	Southeastern SD	73,500	750	35,625	-	-	36,375	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
320	SEDC Contract for electric service related to 4261 Market St; 5003 Imperial Ave.; 5030 Holly Dr.; 101 50th St.; 6395-97 Imperial Ave.	San Diego Gas And Electric	Southeastern SD	13,895	2,252	3,000	6,705	(3,000)	8,957	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
321	SEDC Contract for landscape design services related to DDA Schedule Hilltop and Euclid, Imperial/805 Widening and YMCA	Spurlock Poirier Landscape Architects	Southeastern SD	36,371	-	18,000	-	-	18,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
322	SEDC Contract for corporate legal services related to the wind-down of redevelopment and potential related litigation	Stutz Ariano Shinoff and Holtz	Southeastern SD	37,551	11,274	42,000	10,026	(42,000)	21,300	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
323	SEDC Corporate Contract for construction management services related to DDA for 33rd and E Street (Petrauca)	Swinerton Management & Consulting	Southeastern SD	79,767	110,915	43,956	-	-	154,871	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
324	SEDC Contract for corporate legal services related to on-going litigation	Terrays Group	Southeastern SD	-	7,932	-	-	-	7,932	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
325	SEDC Contract for property management services	Urban Corps	Southeastern SD	68,592	-	37,493	-	-	37,493	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
326	SEDC Contract for economic services related to Strategic Plan Economic Development Strategy and Storefront Program	Winstead & Company	Southeastern SD	-	3,042	-	-	-	3,042	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
327	SEDC Contract for Community Outreach Services related to Strategic Plan Economic Development Strategy, Smart Code, Master EIR	Ybarra Company	Southeastern SD	78,079	-	81,149	-	-	81,149	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
329	SEDC Contract for corporate legal services related to the wind-down of redevelopment and potential related litigation	Kane Ballmer & Berkman	Southeastern SD	5,363	13,072	-	-	-	13,072	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
330	5 Points Pedestrian Improvements: CIP-640060	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	North Bay	99,300	99,300	-	-	-	99,300	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
331	Washington St. Median Improvements: CIP-S00704	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	North Bay	128,000	128,000	-	-	-	128,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
332	North Chollas Community Park - Park Improvement: CIP-296670	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	Crossroads	541,000	-	431,464	-	-	431,464	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
333	University Ave. - Pedestrian Improvements: CIP-527610	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	Crossroads	2,300,000	200,000	2,100,000	-	-	2,300,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
334	El Cajon Blvd. Pedestrian Improvements: CIP-AIK00003	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	Crossroads	565,000	-	565,000	-	-	565,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
335	El Cajon Blvd. Streetlight Improvements: CIP-S00826	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	City Heights	-	-	50,000	-	-	50,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
336	El Cajon Blvd. Streetlight Improvements: CIP-S00827	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	North Park	99,000	25,000	50,000	-	-	75,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
337	Home Avenue (Charles Lewis) Neighborhood Park Development: CIP-S00673	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	City Heights	-	-	150,000	-	-	150,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
338	East Euclid Ave. Pedestrian Improvements: CIP-S12027	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	City Heights	-	-	50,000	-	-	50,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
339	North Park Mini Park Development & Streetscape Improvements: CIP-S10050	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	North Park	1,305,000	125,000	-	-	-	125,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
340	New San Ysidro Library: CIP-350930	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	San Ysidro	2,500,000	2,500,000	-	-	-	2,500,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
341	West Camino de la Plaza Streetscape Improvements: CIP-390913	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	San Ysidro	300,000	-	-	-	-	-	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
342	City Heights Square Mini Park: CIP-299560	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	City Heights	-	731,500	-	-	-	731,500	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
343	City Heights Square Mini Park: CIP-299561	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	City Heights	198,905	198,905	-	-	-	198,905	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
344	Colina Park Neighborhood (Colina Del Sol) Sidewalk Improvements: CIP-12023 & Streetlight Improvements: CIP-S12024	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	City Heights	-	-	125,000	-	-	125,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
345	Traffic Signals	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	Barrio Logan	200,000	200,000	-	-	-	200,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
346	Pedestrian Ramp Improvements	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	Barrio Logan	164,877	164,877	-	-	(128,615)	36,262	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
347	Installation of Sidewalks and Streetlights along College Avenue	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	College Grove	1,799,822	50,000	50,000	-	-	100,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
349	Rosecrans Corridor Improvements	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	North Bay	5,550,000	810,500	1,265,000	-	-	2,075,500	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
350	West Camino de la Plaza Improvements	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	San Ysidro	600,000	600,000	-	-	-	600,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
351	San Ysidro Traffic Signals (Beyer Blvd. Crossing and San Ysidro Blvd./Avenir)	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	San Ysidro	600,000	600,000	-	-	-	600,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
352	San Ysidro Streetscape Improvement Project – Implementation Facilities Plan	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	San Ysidro	2,000,000	2,000,000	-	-	-	2,000,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
353	Missing Sidewalk Polk Ave Sidewalks between Euclid and Orange	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	City Heights	25,000	9,810	14,000	-	-	23,810	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
354	Missing Sidewalk 51st St South of Trojan Ave	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	City Heights	400,000	146,000	250,000	-	-	396,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
355	Missing Sidewalk Oakcrest Dr Southeast of Winona Ave	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	City Heights	900,000	100,000	800,000	-	-	900,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
356	Missing Streetlights Euclid Ave-University to El Cajon Blvd	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	City Heights	104,000	-	104,000	-	-	104,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
358	NTC Shoreline Design/Entitlements & Westside Improvements	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	Naval Training Center	4,500,000	-	-	-	-	-	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
359	Euclid Ave Sidewalk Improvements	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	City Heights	195,552	-	196,000	-	-	196,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
360	Streetscape Improvements on Fairmount Ave between El Cajon Blvd and University Ave	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	City Heights	2,000,000	-	2,000,000	-	-	2,000,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
361	South College Ave Streetlights (College Grove Blvd to University Ave)	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	Crossroads	700,000	-	50,000	-	-	50,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
362	Chollas Neighborhood Sidewalk Improvements	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	Crossroads	2,000,000	-	300,000	-	-	300,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
363	Phase II – Construction/6-Lane Mission Gorge Rd Expansion	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	Grantville	552,704	-	562,000	-	-	562,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
364	Morley Green Improvements	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	Linda Vista	74,281	-	33,000	-	-	33,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
365	Comstock, Ulric & Linda Vista Road Improvements	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	Linda Vista	100,000	-	4,000	-	-	4,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
366	Surface Parking Lot Fencing	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	North Park	9,888	-	11,000	-	-	11,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
367	ElderHelp Expansion	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	North Park	400,000	-	400,000	-	-	400,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
368	Boundary Street Improvements	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	North Park	300,000	-	300,000	-	-	300,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
369	University and 31st Street Public Improvements	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	North Park	9,755	-	-	-	-	-	
370	30th St Improvements	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	North Park	242,012	-	-	-	-	-	
371	Kansas St Drainage Improvements	Engineering & Capital Projects (City of San Diego)	North Park	864,382	-	-	-	-	-	
372	Quiet Zone	San Diego Metropolitan Transit System	Centre City	141,626	-	-	144,000	-	144,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
373	Quiet Zone	Railroad Signal Design	Centre City	32,100	31,000	-	1,159	-	32,159	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
374	Quiet Zone	West Coast General	Centre City	2,313,306	2,079,760	-	-	-	2,079,760	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
375	Quiet Zone	David Evans & Associates	Centre City	9,363	4,950	-	-	-	4,950	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
376	Quiet Zone	Railpros	Centre City	245,557	-	180,000	44,336	-	224,336	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
377	Quiet Zone	NCTD	Centre City	-	-	199,052	-	-	199,052	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
378	Quiet Zone	BNSF	Centre City	27,500	9,375	18,125	-	-	27,500	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
379	Quiet Zone	Pacific Railways	Centre City	110,551	10,149	100,402	-	-	110,551	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
380	Quiet Zone Project Management Cost	City of San Diego	Centre City	174,627	175,570	-	-	-	175,570	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
381	Quiet Zone	Stack Traffic Consulting	Centre City	11,700	11,700	-	-	-	11,700	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
382	Park Boulevard At-Grade Crossing	Willett Company	Centre City	888	1,406	-	-	-	1,406	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
383	Park Boulevard At-Grade Crossing	MTS	Centre City	9,122	9,122	-	-	-	9,122	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
384	Park Boulevard At-Grade Crossing	Jacobs Engineering	Centre City	51,313	8,552	42,761	-	-	51,313	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
385	Park Boulevard At-Grade Crossing	PGH Wong	Centre City	8,313	-	8,313	-	-	8,313	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
386	Park Boulevard At-Grade Crossing	Construction Agreement for Improvements Required by the PUC and the City of San Diego	Centre City	7,984,000	3,102,222	3,722,667	1,159,111	-	7,984,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
387	Park & SD High School Crosswalk Improvements	Construction Agreement for Improvements	Centre City	1,285,000	-	642,500	642,500	-	1,285,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
388	Fire Station No. 1	HAR Construction via the City of San Diego & City of San Diego	Centre City	335,326	373,695	-	-	-	373,695	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
390	Harbor Drive Pedestrian Bridge	Reyes Construction	Centre City	-	48,865	-	222,058	-	270,923	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
391	Harbor Drive Pedestrian Bridge	Reyes Construction	Centre City	1,248,001	475,838	-	-	-	475,838	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
391	Harbor Drive Pedestrian Bridge	Reyes Construction	Centre City	-	328,327	-	-	-	328,327	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
391	Harbor Drive Pedestrian Bridge	Reyes Construction	Centre City	-	233,443	-	-	-	233,443	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
395	Harbor Drive Pedestrian Bridge	Ninyo & Moore	Centre City	500	3,500	-	-	-	3,500	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
396	Harbor Drive Pedestrian Bridge	Hazard Construction	Centre City	12,229	12,749	-	-	-	12,749	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
397	Harbor Drive Pedestrian Bridge Project Management	City of San Diego	Centre City	690,000	600,000	-	90,000	-	690,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
398	Harbor Drive Pedestrian Bridge	Reyes Construction or AMECO	Centre City	3,000,000	-	-	1,159,111	-	1,159,111	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
399	Harbor Drive Pedestrian Bridge	BNSF	Centre City	6,017	6,017	-	-	-	6,017	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
401	Fire Station No. 2	Charlie Moffitt	Centre City	500	10,000	500	-	-	10,500	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
402	Fire Station No. 2	Leighton & Associates	Centre City	-	2,806	-	-	-	2,806	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
403	Fire Station No. 2	Construction Agreement	Centre City	17,307,172	-	2,778,000	-	-	2,778,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
405	East Village Public Improvements	HTA Engineering	Centre City	1,393,750	267,663	-	-	-	267,663	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
406	Island Avenue Pop-Outs Phase 2	Request to Bid	Centre City	1,749,219	379,875	874,610	494,735	-	1,749,220	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
408	Park to Bay Link	SANDAG	Centre City	137,000	137,000	-	-	-	137,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
409	Gaslamp Square Rehabilitation	MTS Construction Agreement	Centre City	80,000	80,000	-	-	-	80,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
410	Asian Thematic Historic District	Construction Agreement	Centre City	2,500,000	-	937,500	1,562,500	-	2,500,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
411	Island Avenue Pop-Outs Phase 2	Project Design Consultants	Centre City	15,941	13,284	2,657	-	-	15,941	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
412	Gaslamp Square Rehabilitation	Nasland Engineering	Centre City	16,000	-	4,000	-	-	4,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
413	Asian Thematic Historic District	Rick Engineering	Centre City	10,000	-	10,000	-	-	10,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
414	Dennis V. Allen Park Playground Equipment Purchase	SEDC	Southeastern SD	79	79	-	-	-	79	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-BL	City of San Diego (or Direct Agency Expense)	Barrio Logan	-	13,391	1,374	-	-	14,765	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-CH	City of San Diego (or Direct Agency Expense)	City Heights	-	162,754	17,238	-	-	179,992	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-CC	City of San Diego (or Direct Agency Expense)	College Community	-	30,722	2,346	-	-	33,068	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-CG	City of San Diego (or Direct Agency Expense)	College Grove	-	32,725	1,374	-	-	34,099	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-CR	City of San Diego (or Direct Agency Expense)	Crossroads	-	82,744	7,770	-	-	90,514	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-GV	City of San Diego (or Direct Agency Expense)	Grantville	-	58,273	5,178	-	-	63,451	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-LV	City of San Diego (or Direct Agency Expense)	Linda Vista	-	8,907	486	-	-	9,393	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-NTC	City of San Diego (or Direct Agency Expense)	Naval Training Center	-	119,714	8,172	-	-	127,886	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-NB	City of San Diego (or Direct Agency Expense)	North Bay	-	124,313	13,758	-	-	138,071	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-NP	City of San Diego (or Direct Agency Expense)	North Park	-	176,824	10,116	-	-	186,940	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-SY	City of San Diego (or Direct Agency Expense)	San Ysidro	-	167,853	13,110	-	-	180,963	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991. FY 11-12 Memorandum of Understanding.-BL	City of San Diego	Barrio Logan	-	-	1,158	-	-	1,158	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991. FY 11-12 Memorandum of Understanding.-CH	City of San Diego	City Heights	-	21,470	20,370	-	-	41,840	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991. FY 11-12 Memorandum of Understanding.-CC	City of San Diego	College Community	-	-	2,874	-	-	2,874	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991. FY 11-12 Memorandum of Understanding.-CG	City of San Diego	College Grove	-	-	1,434	-	-	1,434	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991. FY 11-12 Memorandum of Understanding.-CR	City of San Diego	Crossroads	-	-	9,180	-	-	9,180	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991. FY 11-12 Memorandum of Understanding.-GV	City of San Diego	Grantville	-	270	6,882	-	-	7,152	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPSL	ROPS2	ROPS3			
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-CH	City of San Diego	City Heights	-	15,400	-	-	-	15,400	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-CR	City of San Diego	Crossroads	-	8,600	-	-	-	8,600	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-NTC	City of San Diego	Naval Training Center	-	11,745	-	-	-	11,745	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-NP	City of San Diego	North Park	-	7,904	-	-	-	7,904	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.-SY	City of San Diego	San Ysidro	-	7,451	-	-	-	7,451	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
418	Storage Room Rent-BL	Executive Complex	Barrio Logan	1,970	11	22	-	-	33	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
418	Storage Room Rent-CH	Executive Complex	City Heights	-	188	396	-	-	584	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
418	Storage Room Rent-CC	Executive Complex	College Community	-	28	56	-	-	84	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
418	Storage Room Rent-CG	Executive Complex	College Grove	-	15	28	-	-	43	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
418	Storage Room Rent-CR	Executive Complex	Crossroads	-	89	178	-	-	267	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
418	Storage Room Rent-GV	Executive Complex	Granville	-	67	134	-	-	201	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
418	Storage Room Rent-LV	Executive Complex	Linda Vista	-	3	6	-	-	9	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
418	Storage Room Rent-NTC	Executive Complex	Naval Training Center	1,970	100	178	-	-	278	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
418	Storage Room Rent-NB	Executive Complex	North Bay	1,970	170	323	-	-	493	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
418	Storage Room Rent-NP	Executive Complex	North Park	-	114	228	-	-	342	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
418	Storage Room Rent-SY	Executive Complex	San Ysidro	-	162	323	-	-	485	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
419	Insurance-BL	Alliant Insurance Services	Barrio Logan	302,578	-	864	-	-	864	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
419	Insurance-NP	Alliant Insurance Services	North Park	-	-	8,783	-	-	8,783	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
419	Insurance-SY	Alliant Insurance Services	San Ysidro	-	-	12,425	-	-	12,425	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
419	Insurance-CCDC	Alliant Insurance Services	Centre City	-	-	198,660	-	-	198,660	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
419	Insurance-SEDC	Alliant Insurance Services	Southeastern SD	-	-	31,930	-	(31,930)	-	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
419	Insurance-CH	Alliant Insurance Services	City Heights	302,578	-	15,211	-	-	15,211	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
419	Insurance-COC	Alliant Insurance Services	College Community	302,578	-	2,145	-	-	2,145	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
419	Insurance-CG	Alliant Insurance Services	College Grove	-	-	1,073	-	-	1,073	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
419	Insurance-CR	Alliant Insurance Services	Crossroads	-	-	6,853	-	-	6,853	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
419	Insurance-GV	Alliant Insurance Services	Grantville	-	-	5,140	-	-	5,140	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
419	Insurance-LV	Alliant Insurance Services	Linda Vista	-	-	216	-	-	216	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
419	Insurance-NTC	Alliant Insurance Services	Naval Training Center	-	-	6,853	-	-	6,853	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
419	Insurance-NB	Alliant Insurance Services	North Bay	-	-	12,425	-	-	12,425	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
420	Insurance - Property Southeastern SD	Alliant Insurance Services	Southeastern SD	1,698	153	-	1,545	-	1,698	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
421	Insurance - Property & Crime Southeastern SD	Alliant Insurance Services	Southeastern SD	2,851	1,463	-	1,387	-	2,850	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
422	Maintenance Assessment Districts, Linda Vista	City of San Diego	Linda Vista	3,250	642	-	642	-	1,284	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
423	Maintenance Assessment Districts, City Heights	City of San Diego	City Heights	8,996	3,036	-	3,036	-	6,072	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
424	Vector Control - Linda Vista	San Diego County Vector Control Program	Linda Vista	2,870	-	-	135	-	135	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
424	Vector Control - City Heights	San Diego County Vector Control Program	City Heights	-	-	-	195	-	195	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
424	Vector Control - North Bay	San Diego County Vector Control Program	North Bay	-	-	-	22	-	22	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
424	Vector Control - College Community	San Diego County Vector Control Program	College Community	-	-	-	12	-	12	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
424	Vector Control - CCDC	San Diego County Vector Control Program	Centre City	-	-	-	1,032	-	1,032	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
424	Vector Control - SEDC	San Diego County Vector Control Program	Southeastern SD	-	-	-	534	-	534	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
425	Trustee Services - North Park Bonds	Wells Fargo Bank	North Park	126,000	-	4,000	-	-	4,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
426	Trustee Services - North Bay Bonds	Wells Fargo Bank	North Bay	38,000	-	4,000	-	-	4,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
427	Trustee Services - City Heights 2003 Bonds	Bank of New York Mellon	City Heights	55,000	2,500	-	2,500	-	5,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
428	Trustee Services - City Heights 1999 & 2010 Bonds, Pooled Hsg	U.S. Bank	City Heights	33,820	-	1,584	-	-	1,584	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
429	Trustee Services CR 2010 Bonds, Pooled Hsg	U.S. Bank	Crossroads	16,936	-	584	-	-	584	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
430	Trustee Services NTC 2010 Bonds, Pooled Hsg	U.S. Bank	Naval Training Center	16,936	-	584	-	-	584	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
431	Trustee Services SY 2010 Bonds, Pooled Hsg	U.S. Bank	San Ysidro	16,936	-	584	-	-	584	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
432	Trustee Services NB Allocation Pooled Hsg	U.S. Bank	North Bay	2,436	-	84	-	-	84	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
433	Trustee Services NP Allocation Pooled Hsg	U.S. Bank	North Park	2,436	-	84	-	-	84	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
434	RDA Annual Audit-BL	Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP	Barrio Logan	407,374	584	-	-	-	584	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
434	RDA Annual Audit-CH	Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP	City Heights	-	11,314	-	-	-	11,314	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
434	RDA Annual Audit-CoC	Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP	College Community	-	687	-	-	-	687	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
434	RDA Annual Audit-CG	Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP	College Grove	-	831	-	-	-	831	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
434	RDA Annual Audit-CR	Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP	Crossroads	-	3,372	-	-	-	3,372	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
434	RDA Annual Audit-GV	Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP	Grantville	-	1,216	-	-	-	1,216	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
434	RDA Annual Audit-LV	Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP	Linda Vista	-	115	-	-	-	115	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
434	RDA Annual Audit-NTC	Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP	Naval Training Center	-	5,594	-	-	-	5,594	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
434	RDA Annual Audit-NB	Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP	North Bay	-	8,910	-	-	-	8,910	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
434	RDA Annual Audit-NP	Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP	North Park	-	7,136	-	-	-	7,136	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
434	RDA Annual Audit-SY	Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP	San Ysidro	-	5,213	-	-	-	5,213	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
434	RDA Annual Audit-CCDC	Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP	Centre City	-	126,870	-	200,000	(200,000)	126,870	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
434	RDA Annual Audit-SEDC	Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP	Southeastern SD	-	35,523	-	-	-	35,523	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
435	Appeals Data-BL	San Diego County Assessor	Barrio Logan	133	-	-	2	-	2	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
435	Appeals Data-CH	San Diego County Assessor	City Heights	-	-	-	27	-	27	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3			
435	Appeals Data-CoC	San Diego County Assessor	College Community	-	-	-	4	-	4	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
435	Appeals Data-CG	San Diego County Assessor	College Grove	-	-	-	2	-	2	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
435	Appeals Data-CR	San Diego County Assessor	Crossroads	-	-	-	12	-	12	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
435	Appeals Data-GV	San Diego County Assessor	Grantville	-	-	-	9	-	9	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
435	Appeals Data-LV	San Diego County Assessor	Linda Vista	-	-	-	1	-	1	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
435	Appeals Data-NTC	San Diego County Assessor	Naval Training Center	-	-	-	12	-	12	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
435	Appeals Data-NB	San Diego County Assessor	North Bay	-	-	-	22	-	22	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
435	Appeals Data-SY	San Diego County Assessor	San Ysidro	-	-	-	22	-	22	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
436	Continuing Disclosure (Bonds) - City Heights	David Taussig and Associates	City Heights	10,000	-	-	2,000	-	2,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
436	Continuing Disclosure (Bonds) - Crossroads	David Taussig and Associates	Crossroads	-	-	-	1,500	-	1,500	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
436	Continuing Disclosure (Bonds) - NTC	David Taussig and Associates	Naval Training Center	-	-	-	1,500	-	1,500	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
436	Continuing Disclosure (Bonds) - North Bay	David Taussig and Associates	North Bay	-	-	-	1,500	-	1,500	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
436	Continuing Disclosure (Bonds) - San Ysidro	David Taussig and Associates	San Ysidro	-	-	-	1,500	-	1,500	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
437	Arbitrage Calculation and Disclosure Counsel Services (Bonds) - City Heights	Hawkins Delafield & Wood	City Heights	60,959	505	1,093	1,084	-	2,682	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
437	Arbitrage Calculation and Disclosure Counsel Services (Bonds) - Crossroads	Hawkins Delafield & Wood	Crossroads	-	758	1,093	1,084	-	2,935	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
437	Arbitrage Calculation and Disclosure Counsel Services (Bonds) - NTC	Hawkins Delafield & Wood	Naval Training Center	-	830	1,093	1,084	-	3,007	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
437	Arbitrage Calculation and Disclosure Counsel Services (Bonds) - North Bay	Hawkins Delafield & Wood	North Bay	-	704	1,093	1,084	-	2,881	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
437	Arbitrage Calculation and Disclosure Counsel Services (Bonds) - North Park	Hawkins Delafield & Wood	North Park	-	787	1,093	-	-	1,880	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
437	Arbitrage Calculation and Disclosure Counsel Services (Bonds) - San Ysidro	Hawkins Delafield & Wood	San Ysidro	-	704	1,093	1,084	-	2,881	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
437	Arbitrage Calculation and Disclosure Counsel Services (Bonds) - CCDC	Hawkins Delafield & Wood	Centre City	-	2,319	18,092	17,950	-	38,361	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
437	Arbitrage Calculation and Disclosure Counsel Services (Bonds) - SEDC	Hawkins Delafield & Wood	Southeastern SD	-	2,500	-	2,750	-	5,250	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013			
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
438	Arbitrage Calculation Services (Bonds) - City Heights	Omnicap	City Heights	64,809	1,750	1,093	1,320	-	4,163	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
438	Arbitrage Calculation Services (Bonds) - North Park	Omnicap	North Park	-	3,000	1,093	1,320	-	5,413	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
438	Arbitrage Calculation Services (Bonds) - North Bay	Omnicap	North Bay	-	-	1,093	1,320	-	2,413	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
438	Arbitrage Calculation Services (Bonds) - NTC	Omnicap	Naval Training Center	-	6,389	1,093	1,320	-	8,802	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
438	Arbitrage Calculation Services (Bonds) - Crossroads	Omnicap	Crossroads	-	1,750	1,093	1,320	-	4,163	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
438	Arbitrage Calculation Services (Bonds) - San Ysidro	Omnicap	San Ysidro	-	1,750	1,093	1,320	-	4,163	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
438	Arbitrage Calculation Services (Bonds) - CCDC	Omnicap	Centre City	-	14,366	18,092	21,853	-	54,311	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
438	Arbitrage Calculation Services (Bonds) - SEDC	Omnicap	Southeastern SD	-	2,000	-	3,575	-	5,575	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
439	Memorandum of Understanding dated January 3, 2011 and amended February 18, 2011.-CH	City of San Diego	Barrio Logan	30,850	8,895	-	-	-	8,895	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
439	Memorandum of Understanding dated January 3, 2011 and amended February 18, 2011.-CR	City of San Diego	College Grove	-	311	-	-	-	311	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
439	Memorandum of Understanding dated January 3, 2011 and amended February 18, 2011.-NB	City of San Diego	Crossroads	-	4,502	-	-	-	4,502	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
439	Memorandum of Understanding dated January 3, 2011 and amended February 18, 2011.-NP	City of San Diego	North Bay	-	4,813	-	-	-	4,813	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
439	Memorandum of Understanding dated January 3, 2011 and amended February 18, 2011.-SY	City of San Diego	North Park	30,850	8,000	-	-	-	8,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
439	Memorandum of Understanding dated January 3, 2011 and amended February 18, 2011.-SY	City of San Diego	San Ysidro	-	4,329	-	-	-	4,329	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
440	Operating Agreement between the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and Southeastern Economic Development Corporation (SEDC)	Southeastern Economic Development Corporation	Southeastern SD	654,346	6,910	200,635	27,525	(200,635)	34,435	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
440	Storage Facility Rent (SEDC)	National City Storage	Southeastern SD	-	138	4,752	4,752	(4,752)	4,890	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
440	SEDC Employer Payroll Taxes	Social Security Administration & the State of California	Southeastern SD	654,346	8,640	-	-	-	8,640	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
440	SEDC Office Space Rental	Jacobs Center for Neighborhood Innovation	Southeastern SD	-	3,702	41,393	-	(41,393)	3,702	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
440	Equipment Lease	Xerox Copiers & Hewlett Packard	Southeastern SD	-	3,718	7,074	-	(7,074)	3,718	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
440	Business Improvement District Fees	Diamond Business District	Southeastern SD	-	150	-	-	-	150	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
440	SEDC Corporate Annual Audit	Leaf & Cole	Southeastern SD	-	-	18,100	-	(18,100)	-	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPSL	ROPS2	ROPS3			
441	Vector Control	San Diego County Vector Control Program	Southeastern SD	-	1,320	-	-	-	1,320	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
442	Trustee Services	Bank of New York Mellon	Southeastern SD	203,000	7,000	-	7,000	-	14,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
440	Agency Financial System	San Diego Data Processing Corporation	Southeastern SD	-	1,209	-	-	-	1,209	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
443	Operating Agreement between the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and Centre City Development Corporation (CCDC)	Centre City Development Corporation	Centre City	4,631,279	2,677,297	2,700,000	-	-	5,377,297	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
444	Accrued Benefits CCDC	Centre City Development Corporation	Centre City	380,267	380,267	-	-	-	380,267	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
445	Insurance	Alliant Insurance Services	Centre City	10,994	-	16,850	-	-	16,850	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
445	Insurance	Alliant Insurance Services	Centre City	10,994	-	7,963	-	-	7,963	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
446	Insurance	Alliant Insurance Services	Centre City	25,030	12,330	-	12,700	-	25,030	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
447	Insurance	Alliant Insurance Services	Centre City	13,126	-	13,126	-	-	13,126	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
448	Insurance	Alliant Insurance Services	Centre City	28,271	-	28,271	-	-	28,271	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
449	Insurance	Alliant Insurance Services	Centre City	26,526	-	26,526	-	-	26,526	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
450	Insurance	Alliant Insurance Services	Horton Plaza	19,920	-	19,920	-	-	19,920	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
451	Insurance	Alliant Insurance Services	Horton Plaza	68,000	-	64,234	-	-	64,234	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
452	Insurance	Alliant Insurance Services	Horton Plaza	16,992	72,715	-	100,500	-	173,215	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
453	Trustee Services	Wells Fargo Bank	Horton Plaza	31,000	1,000	-	3,090	-	4,090	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
454	Trustee Services	Wells Fargo Bank	Centre City	190,000	10,000	-	10,300	-	20,300	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
455	Trustee Services	Bank of New York Mellon	Centre City	406,500	-	-	7,500	-	7,500	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
456	Trustee Services	U.S. Bank	Centre City	44,490	7,490	-	12,360	-	19,850	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
457	Trustee Services	Deutsche Bank	Centre City	110,000	7,500	-	7,725	-	15,225	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.

Enforceable Obligation					February 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	January 1, 2013 - June 30, 2013			
ROPS 3 Number	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Project Area	Total Obligation	Unspent ROPS1	ROPS2	ROPS3	Less Projected Amount from RPTTF	Dollar Amounts Needed	Why Agency Needs the Amount
458	Trustee Services	Union Bank	Centre City	27,022	2,500	-	2,575	-	5,075	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
459	Business Improvement District/Tax Assessment	City of San Diego	Centre City	174,791	618	-	140,152	-	140,770	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
460	Memorandum of Understanding, Fiscal Year 2011-12.	City of San Diego	Centre City	293,858	143,858	150,000	-	-	293,858	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
462	Operating Agreement between the City of San Diego and the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego and associated First Amended Agreement dated July 30, 1991.	City of San Diego	Centre City	112,152	25,919	40,554	40,554	-	107,027	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
463	401 B Street, Suite 400	Irvine Company	Centre City	2,891,730	341,544	353,598	353,598	-	1,048,740	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
464	Downtown Information Center	Westfield Horton Plaza	Centre City	263,882	52,014	53,574	53,574	-	159,162	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	SEDC Contract for mailing of required public notices in accordance with Brown Act Compliance	San Diego Daily Transcript	Southeastern SD	-	5,000	-	-	(1,849)	3,151	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
0	SEDC Contract for mailing of required public notices in accordance with Brown Act Compliance	San Diego Voice And Viewpoint	Southeastern SD	-	1,600	-	-	-	1,600	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
466	Administration Cost	City of San Diego or Other Consultants	All	2,800,749	-	-	2,800,749	(923,380)	1,877,369	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
467	Project Management Cost	City of San Diego or Other Consultants	All	1,394,750	-	-	1,394,746	-	1,394,746	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
475	Contingency Costs for All Project Areas	TBD	All	500,000	-	500,000	500,000	(500,000)	500,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
474	General Property Management for All Project Areas	TBD	All	1,000,000	-	-	500,000	-	500,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
476	Oversight Board Legal Counsel	Meyers Nave	All	250,000	-	-	250,000	(250,000)	-	-
477	Audit of Low and Moderate Income Housing Assets	Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP or other Audit Firm Approved by County of San Diego	All	250,000	-	-	250,000	-	250,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
478	Audit of Non-Housing Asset	Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP or other Audit Firm Approved by County of San Diego	All	250,000	-	-	250,000	-	250,000	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
480	Reserve for Debt Service on Non-Housing Bonds	Reserve for Debt Service	All	34,728,403	-	-	34,728,403	-	34,728,403	ROPS 2 and ROPS 3 were prepared with the understanding that the Successor Agency was to spend cash on hand prior to requesting monies from the RPTTF. This was based on discussion with the DOF and AB 26 section 34177 (j)(1)(E). The amount requested in ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 from RPTTF is not enough to support the enforceable obligations.
					\$ 6,060,634,634	\$ 44,863,694	\$ 80,214,786	\$ 95,730,984	\$ (42,013,668)	\$ 178,795,796
Bond Proceeds					\$ 17,219,244	\$ 25,687,860	\$ 4,123,778	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 47,030,882
Reserve Balances					16,513,626	37,576,061	7,418,392	-	-	61,508,079
Other Revenue Sources					10,754,944	6,153,475	44,968,856	-	-	61,877,275
Other Restricted					-	361,875	6,628,893	-	-	6,990,768
RPTTF					375,880	10,435,515	30,278,893	(41,090,288)	-	-
Administration					-	-	2,312,172	(923,380)	-	1,388,792
					\$ 44,863,694	\$ 80,214,786	\$ 95,730,984	\$ (42,013,668)		\$ 178,795,796
Enforceable Obligations Paid with Bond Proceeds (included in Exhibit E)										(47,030,882)
Enforceable Obligations Paid with Other Restricted Funds (included in Exhibit E)										(6,990,768)
Balances Needed to Satisfy ROPS for the 2012-2013 fiscal year (included in Exhibit I)										\$ 124,774,146

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

EXHIBIT I - ASSETS AVAILABLE TO DISTRIBUTE TO AFFECTED TAXING ENTITIES

SUMMARY OF BALANCES AVAILABLE FOR ALLOCATION TO AFFECTED TAXING ENTITIES

Total amount of assets held by the successor agency as of June 30, 2012 (Exhibit D)	\$ 615,900,568
Add the amount of any assets transferred to the city or other parties for which an enforceable obligation with a third party requiring such transfer and obligating the use of the transferred assets did not exist (Exhibit A1)	121,300,276
Less the amount of any non-cash asset transfers reversed subsequent to June 30, 2012 for which an enforceable obligation with a third party requiring such transfer and obligating the use of the transferred assets did not exist (Exhibit A1)	(60,387,571)
Less assets legally restricted for uses specified by debt covenants, grant restrictions, or restrictions imposed by other governments (Exhibit E)	(135,949,217)
Less assets that are not cash or cash equivalents (e.g., physical assets) (Exhibit F)	(253,130,386)
Less balances that are legally restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation (net of projected annual revenues available to fund those obligations) (Exhibit G)	(10,538,893)
Less balances needed to satisfy ROPS for the 2012-13 fiscal year (Exhibit H)	(124,774,146)
Less the amount of payments made on July 12, 2012 to the County Auditor-Controller as directed by the California Department of Finance	<u>(89,644,451)</u>
Amount to be remitted to county for disbursement to taxing entities	<u>\$ 62,776,180</u>